

APPROXIMATION BY NÖRLUND MEANS OF QUADRATICAL PARTIAL SUMS OF DOUBLE WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

KÁROLY NAGY

Dedicated to Professor Ferenc Móricz on the occasion of his seventieth birthday.

AMS Classification: 42C10.

Keywords and phrases: Walsh group, Walsh system, Walsh-Fourier series, Nörlund mean, two-dimensional system, approximation .

ABSTRACT. In this article we discuss the Nörlund means of cubical partial sums of Walsh-Fourier series of a function in L^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). We investigate the rate of the approximation by this means, in particular, in $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In case $p = \infty$ by L^p we mean C_W , the collection of the uniformly W -continuous functions. Our main theorems state that the approximation behavior of the two-dimensional Walsh-Nörlund means is so good as the approximation behavior of the one-dimensional Walsh-Nörlund means.

As special case we get the Nörlund logarithmic means of cubical partial sums of Walsh-Fourier series discussed recently by Gát and Goginava in 2004 [5] and the (C, β) -means of Marcinkiewicz type with respect to double Walsh-Fourier series discussed by Goginava [10].

Earlier results on one-dimensional Nörlund means of the Walsh-Fourier series was given by Móricz and Siddiqi [14].

1. INTRODUCTION

Now, we give a brief introduction to the Walsh-Fourier analysis [15, 1].

Let denote by \mathbf{Z}_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The normalized Haar measure on \mathbf{Z}_2 is given in the way that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let $G := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}_2$, G is called the Walsh group. The elements of G are sequences $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$).

The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition (denoted by $+$), the normalized Haar measure (denoted by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. Dyadic intervalls are defined by

$$I_0(x) := G, \quad I_n(x) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}$$

for $x \in G, n \in \mathbf{P}$. They form a base for the neighborhoods of G . Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G and $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Set $e_i := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$, where the i th coordinate is 1 and the rest are 0 ($i \in \mathbf{N}$).

Let L^p denote the usual Lebesgue spaces on G (with the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_p$). For the sake of brevity in notation, we agree to write L^∞ instead of C_W and set $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in G\}$.

Next, we define the modulus of continuity in $L^p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, of a function $f \in L^p$ by

$$\omega_p(\delta, f) := \sup_{|t| < \delta} \|f(\cdot + t) - f(\cdot)\|_p, \quad \delta > 0.$$

The Lipschitz classes in L^p for each $\alpha > 0$ are defined by

$$\text{Lip}(\alpha, p) := \{f \in L^p : \omega_p(\delta, f) = O(\delta^\alpha) \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0\}.$$

The Rademacher functions are defined as

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k} \quad (x \in G, k \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Let the Walsh-Paley functions be the product functions of the Rademacher functions. Namely, each natural number n can be uniquely expressed as

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i, \quad n_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i \in \mathbf{N}),$$

where only a finite number of n_i 's different from zero. Let the order of $n > 0$ be denoted by $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$. Walsh-Paley functions are $w_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k}.$$

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^w := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k,$$

where $n \in \mathbf{P}$, $D_0^w := 0$. The 2^n th Dirichlet kernels have a closed form (see e.g. [15])

$$(1) \quad D_{2^n}^w = D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise } (n \in \mathbf{N}). \end{cases}$$

The n th Fejér mean and the Fejér kernel of the Fourier series of a function f [6] is defined by

$$\sigma_n^w(f; x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n S_i^w(f; x), \quad K_n^w(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k^w(x) \quad (x \in G),$$

and $K_0^w = 0$.

On G^2 we consider the two-dimensional system as $\{w_{n^1}(x^1) \times w_{n^2}(x^2) : (n^1, n^2) \in \mathbf{N}^2\}$. The two-dimensional Fourier coefficients, the rectangular partial sums of the Fourier series and Dirichlet kernels are defined in the usual way. Define the n -th Marcinkiewicz kernel \mathcal{K}_n^w by

$$\mathcal{K}_n^w(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k^w(x^1) D_k^w(x^2) \quad (x = (x^1, x^2) \in G^2).$$

For $x \in G$ we define $|x|$ by $|x| := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j 2^{-j-1}$, for $x = (x^1, x^2) \in G^2$ by $|x|^2 := (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2$. Thus, for $f \in L^p(G^2)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) the modulus of continuity $\omega_p(\delta, f)$ is well defined for $\delta > 0$. We define the mixed modulus of continuity as follows

$$\omega_{1,2}^p(\delta_1, \delta_2, f) := \sup\{\|f(\cdot + x^1, \cdot + x^2) - f(\cdot + x^1, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot + x^2) + f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p : |x^1| \leq \delta_1, |x^2| \leq \delta_2\},$$

where $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$.

2. NÖRLUND MEANS

Let $\{q_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers. The Nörlund means t_n^w and kernels L_n^w for the Walsh-Fourier series are defined by

$$t_n^w(f, x) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} S_k^w(f, x), \quad L_n^w(x) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} D_k^w(x),$$

where $Q_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_k$ ($n \geq 1$).

We always assume that $q_1 > 0$ and

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n = \infty.$$

In this case, the summability method generated by $\{q_k\}$ is regular (see [14]) if and only if

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_{n-1}}{Q_n} = 0.$$

In particular case t_n^w are the Fejér means (for all k set $q_k = 1$) and t_n^w are the (C, β) -means ($q_k := A_k^\beta := \binom{\beta+k}{k}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\beta \neq -1, -2, \dots$).

In the paper [14] the rate of the approximation by Nörlund means for Walsh-Fourier series of a function in L^p (in particular, in $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) was studied. In case $p = \infty$, by L^p we mean C_W , the collection of the uniform W -continuous functions. As special cases Móricz and Siddiqi obtained the earlier results given by Yano [18], Jastrebova [11] and Skvortsov [16] on the rate of the approximation by Cesàro means. The approximation properties of the Cesàro means of negativ order was studied by Goginava in 2002 [9].

The case when $q_k = \frac{1}{k}$ is not discussed in the paper of Móricz and Siddiqi, in this case t_n^w are called the Nörlund logarithmic means. The Nörlund logarithmic means for the Walsh-Fourier series was discussed by Gát, Goginava and Tkebuchava earlier [4, 8], for unbounded Vilenkin system by Blahota and Gát [2].

In 2004 Gát and Goginava [5] discussed the uniform and L -convergence of the Nörlund logarithmic means of cubical partial sums of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series.

Motivated by the work of Gát and Goginava we investigate the two-dimensional Nörlund means of cubical partial sums of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Define the means and kernels by the usual way

$$\mathbf{t}_n^w(f, x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} S_{k,k}^w(f, x^1, x^2),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n^w(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} D_k^w(x^1) D_k^w(x^2).$$

The means \mathbf{t}_n^w could be called Walsh-Nörlund means of Marcinkiewicz type. We mention that the case that $q_k := \frac{1}{k}$ is not included in this paper. For Walsh system this case is discussed by Gát and Goginava in [5]. If we choose $q_k := A_k^\beta = \binom{\beta+k}{k}$ (for $k \geq 1$ and $\beta \neq -1, -2, \dots$), then we get the (C, β) -means of Marcinkiewicz-type which was discussed by Goginava [10] with respect to double Walsh-Fourier series.

3. THE RATE OF THE APPROXIMATION

In the following lemma we give a decomposition of the Walsh-Nörlund kernels of Marcinkiewicz type. This lemma is the two-dimensional analogue of the Lemma proved by Móricz and Siddiqi in [14, Lemma 3].

Lemma 1. *Let $|n| = A \geq 1$ and $\{q_k\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers then*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n \mathcal{L}_n^w(x^1, x^2) &= Q_{n-2^A+1} D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) + D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w(x^2) \\
&+ D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w(x^1) + r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} (Q_{n-2^{j+1}} - Q_{n-2^{j+1}+1}) D_{2^j}(x^1) D_{2^j}(x^2) \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} (q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}) i K_i^w(x^1) \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} (2^j - 1) K_{2^j-1}^w(x^1) \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} D_{2^j}(x^1) r_j(x^2) \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} (q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}) i K_i^w(x^2) \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} D_{2^j}(x^1) r_j(x^2) q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} (2^j - 1) K_{2^j-1}^w(x^2) \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} r_j(x^1) r_j(x^2) \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} (q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}) i \mathcal{K}_i^w(x^1, x^2) \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} r_j(x^1) r_j(x^2) q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} (2^j - 1) \mathcal{K}_{2^j-1}^w(x^1, x^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. During the proof of Lemma 1 we use the following equations:

$$(4) \quad D_{2^A+j}^w(x) = D_{2^A}(x) + r_A(x) D_j^w(x), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^A - 1.$$

Let $|n| = A$, then we could write

$$Q_n \mathcal{L}_n^w(x^1, x^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^A-1} q_{n-k} D_k^w(x^1) D_k^w(x^2) + \sum_{k=2^A}^{n-1} q_{n-k} D_k^w(x^1) D_k^w(x^2) =: I + II.$$

By the help of (4), we decompose II .

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} D_{2^A+j}^w(x^1) D_{2^A+j}^w(x^2) \\
&= D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) \sum_{j=0}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} + D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) \sum_{j=0}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} D_j^w(x^2) \\
&\quad + D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} D_j^w(x^1) + r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) \\
&= Q_{n-2^A+1} D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) + D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w(x^2) \\
&\quad + D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w(x^1) + r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(x^1, x^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We use (4) for the discussion of I

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \sum_{k=1}^{2^A-1} q_{n-k} D_k^w(x^1) D_k^w(x^2) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} q_{n-2^j-i} D_{2^j+i}^w(x^1) D_{2^j+i}^w(x^2) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} (Q_{n-2^j+1} - Q_{n-2^{j+1}+1}) D_{2^j}(x^1) D_{2^j}(x^2) + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} q_{n-2^j-i} D_i^w(x^1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} D_{2^j}(x^1) r_j(x^2) \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} q_{n-2^j-i} D_i^w(x^2) + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} r_j(x^1) r_j(x^2) \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} q_{n-2^j-i} D_i^w(x^1) D_i^w(x^2) \\
&:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

We use Abel's transformation to study I_2, I_3, I_4 .

$$I_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} (q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}) i K_i^w(x^1) + q_{n-2^j+1} (2^j - 1) K_{2^j-1}^w(x^1) \right).$$

(I_3 goes analogously.)

$$I_4 = \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} r_j(x^1) r_j(x^2) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} (q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}) i \mathcal{K}_i^w(x^1, x^2) + q_{n-2^j+1} (2^j - 1) \mathcal{K}_{2^j-1}^w(x^1, x^2) \right).$$

For sequence $q_k \downarrow$ we would like to reach the same result as for sequence $q_k \uparrow$, to do this we have to decompose the expression I in another way into two parts. But, we do not write our result to the statement of Lemma 1.

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed and set $|n| = A$. We write for I that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-1} q_{n-2^j-i} D_{2^j+i}^w(x^1) D_{2^j+i}^w(x^2) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}-i} D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^1) D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^2) \\
&=: I^1 + I^2.
\end{aligned}$$

I^1 is studied in Lemma 1 too. To decompose I^2 we will use the following formula in [14]

$$(5) \quad D_{2^j+i}^w - D_{2^j+1}^w = -w_{2^j+1-1} D_{2^j-i}^w \quad (0 \leq i < 2^j).$$

Now, we write for I^2 that

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}-i} (D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1)) D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^2) \\ &+ D_{2^A}(x^1) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}-i} D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}-i} (D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1)) (D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)) \\ &+ D_{2^A}(x^2) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}-i} (D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^1) - D_{2^A}(x^1)) \\ &+ D_{2^A}(x^1) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}-i} (D_{2^{A-1}+i}^w(x^2) - D_{2^A}(x^2)) \\ &+ D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}-i} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the formula (5) into I^2 , using an Abel's transformation we get the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= (Q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} - Q_{n-2^A+1}) D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) \\ &- D_{2^A}(x^2) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) \sum_{l=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+l} - q_{n-2^A+l+1}) l K_l^w(x^1) \\ &- D_{2^A}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \sum_{l=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+l} - q_{n-2^A+l+1}) l K_l^w(x^2) \\ &+ D_{2^A}(x^2) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} K_{2^{A-1}}^w(x^1) \\ &+ D_{2^A}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} K_{2^{A-1}}^w(x^2) \\ &+ w_{2^A-1}(x^1 + x^2) \sum_{l=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+l} - q_{n-2^A+l+1}) l \mathcal{K}_l^w(x^1, x^2) \\ &+ w_{2^A-1}(x^1 + x^2) q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \mathcal{K}_{2^{A-1}}^w(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. □

By the help of this lemma we have our main theorem which states that the approximation behavior of the two-dimensional Walsh-Nörlund means of Marcinkiewicz type is so good as the approximation behavior of the one-dimensional Walsh-Nörlund means. The last one was investigated by Móricz and Siddiqi [14]. Recently, Fridli, Manchanda and Siddiqi generalized

the result of Móricz and Siddiqi for homogeneous Banach spaces and dyadic Hardy spaces [3].

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let $|n| = A \geq 1$ and let $\{q_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers.*

If $\{q_k\}$ is nondecreasing, in sign \uparrow , then

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^w(f) - f\|_p \leq \frac{c}{Q_n} \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^l} 2^l \omega_p(2^{-l}, f) + O(\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)).$$

If $\{q_k\}$ is nonincreasing, in sign \downarrow , such that

$$(6) \quad \frac{n}{Q_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_k^2 = O(1)$$

then

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^w(f) - f\|_p \leq \frac{c}{Q_n} \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^l} 2^l \omega_p(2^{-l}, f) + O(\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)).$$

To prove our theorem we need the following lemmas given by Schipp, Móricz [13], Yano [17], and Glukhov [7].

Lemma 2. *(Schipp and Móricz) If the condition (6) is satisfied, then there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|L_n^w\|_1 \leq C, \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Lemma 3. *(Yano) Let $n \geq 1$, then*

$$\|K_n^w\|_1 \leq 2.$$

Lemma 4. *(Glukhov) Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k D_k^w D_k^w \right\|_1 \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where c is an absolute constant.

As corollary of the Lemma of Glukhov, we get that

$$(7) \quad \|\mathcal{K}_n^w\|_1 \leq C \quad (n \geq 1)$$

where C is an absolute constant and the fact that condition (6) implies

$$(8) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_n^w\|_1 \leq C \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Proof of Theorem 1: Clearly, condition (6) implies the regularity of the summability method. We make the proof for $1 \leq p < \infty$, for $p = \infty$ the proof goes in a similar way (where $L^\infty = C_W$).

For sequence $q_k \uparrow$ we use the decomposition Lemma 1, for sequence $q_k \downarrow$ we use the decomposition Lemma 1 and the decomposition of I^1 and I^2 in the proof of Lemma 1.

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed and set $|n| = A$. By Lemma 1 and Minkowski inequality we may write that

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_n \| \mathbf{t}_n^w(f) - f \|_p \\
\leq & Q_{n-2^A+1} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + Q_{n-2^A} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) L_{n-2^A}^w(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + Q_{n-2^A} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) L_{n-2^A}^w(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + Q_{n-2^A} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} (Q_{n-2^{j+1}} - Q_{n-2^{j+1}+1}) \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^j}(x^1) D_{2^j}(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}| i \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) K_i^w(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}| i \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^j}(x^1) r_j(x^2) K_i^w(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} (2^j - 1) \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^j}(x^2) r_j(x^1) K_{2^j-1}^w(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} (2^j - 1) \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^j}(x^1) r_j(x^2) K_{2^j-1}^w(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}| i \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_j(x^1) r_j(x^2) \mathcal{K}_i^w(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} (2^j - 1) \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_j(x^1) r_j(x^2) \mathcal{K}_{2^j-1}^w(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{11} A_{n,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the above written for sequence $q_k \downarrow$, in the expression $A_{n,5}, \dots, A_{n,11}$ the sum goes upto $A - 2$ and we have 7 extra expression $A_{n,12}, \dots, A_{n,18}$ from the expression I^2 , but we will discuss them at the *second part* of this proof.

Now, we discuss $A_{n,1}$. By (1) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq \\
 & \leq \int_{I_A^2} D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) \left(\int_{G^2} |f(y+x) - f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(x) \\
 (9) \quad & \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we immediately have

$$A_{n,1} \leq cQ_{n-2^A+1}\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)$$

and

$$A_{n,5} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} (Q_{n-2^j+1} - Q_{n-2^{j+1}+1}) \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

If $q_k \uparrow$, we get that $(Q_{n-2^j+1} - Q_{n-2^{j+1}+1}) \leq 2^j q_{n-2^j}$ and

$$A_{n,5} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j q_{n-2^j} \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

If $q_k \downarrow$, we get that $(Q_{n-2^j+1} - Q_{n-2^{j+1}+1}) \leq 2^j q_{n-2^{j+1}}$ and

$$A_{n,5} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} 2^j q_{n-2^{j+1}} \omega_p(2^{-j}, f) \leq c \sum_{l=1}^{A-1} 2^l q_{n-2^l} \omega_p(2^{-l+1}, f).$$

To discuss $A_{n,2}, A_{n,3}, A_{n,6}, A_{n,7}, A_{n,8}, A_{n,9}$ we write the following for any $\varepsilon \in G, y \in G^2$ and $A \in \mathbf{P}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A} (f(y+x) - f(y)) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) \right| = \left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A} f(y+x) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) \right| \\
 & = \left| \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} f(y+x) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) + \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon+e_A) \times I_A} f(y+x) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) \right| \\
 & = \left| \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_A^1) d\mu(x) \right| \\
 (10) \quad & \leq \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} |f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_A^1)| d\mu(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $e_A^1 := (e_A, 0)$ (and $e_A^2 := (0, e_A)$ we will use it later too).

To discuss $A_{n,6}$, we write for any $|j| \leq k$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_j^k & := \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^k}(x^2) r_k(x^1) K_j^w(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
 & = \left\| \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,k-1\} \\ \varepsilon_l=0, l \geq k}}^1 \int_{I_k(\varepsilon) \times I_k} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^k}(x^2) r_k(x^1) K_j^w(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

The function $K_j^w(x^1)$ is constant on the sets $I_k(\varepsilon)$ ($\varepsilon \in G$, $|j| \leq k$). Thus, (10) and Lemma 3 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
B_j^k &= \left\| \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,k-1\} \\ \varepsilon_l=0, l \geq k}}^1 2^k K_j^w(\varepsilon) \int_{I_k(\varepsilon) \times I_k} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_k(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,k-1\} \\ \varepsilon_l=0, l \geq k}}^1 2^k |K_j^w(\varepsilon)| \left(\int_{G^2} \left| \int_{I_k(\varepsilon) \times I_k} (f(y+x) - f(y)) r_k(x^1) d\mu(x) \right|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,k-1\} \\ \varepsilon_l=0, l \geq k}}^1 2^k |K_j^w(\varepsilon)| \left(\int_{G^2} \left(\int_{I_{k+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_k} |f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_k^1)| d\mu(x) \right)^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,k-1\} \\ \varepsilon_l=0, l \geq k}}^1 2^k |K_j^w(\varepsilon)| \int_{I_{k+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_k} \left(\int_{G^2} |f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_k^1)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(x) \\
&\leq c \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,k-1\} \\ \varepsilon_l=0, l \geq k}}^1 2^k |K_j^w(\varepsilon)| \omega_p(2^{-k}, f) \int_{I_{k+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_k} d\mu(x) \\
&\leq c \omega_p(2^{-k}, f) \|K_j^w\|_1 \\
&\leq c \omega_p(2^{-k}, f).
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(11) \quad B_j^k := \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^k}(x^2) r_k(x^1) K_j^w(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq c \omega_p(2^{-k}, f)$$

for any $|j| \leq k$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{n,6} &= \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}| i B_i^j \\
&\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}| i \omega_p(2^{-j}, f)
\end{aligned}$$

($A_{n,7}$ goes similarly to $A_{n,6}$).

Moreover,

$$A_{n,8} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} 2^j B_{2^j-1}^j \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} 2^j \omega_p(2^{-j}, f)$$

($A_{n,9}$ goes similarly to $A_{n,8}$).

If $q_k \uparrow$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}|i &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} q_{n-2^j-i} - (2^j - 2)q_{n-2^j+1} \\
 (12) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} q_{n-2^j-i} \leq 2^j q_{n-2^j}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_{n,6} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j q_{n-2^j} \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

Moreover,

$$A_{n,8} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^j} 2^j \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

While, in the case when $q_k \downarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}|i &\leq (2^j - 2)q_{n-2^j+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{2^j-2} q_{n-2^j-i} \\
 (13) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq 2^j q_{n-2^j+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_{n,6} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} 2^j q_{n-2^{j+1}} \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

Moreover,

$$A_{n,8} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} q_{n-2^{j+1}} 2^j \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

Now, we introduce the notation \tilde{B}_j^A to discuss $A_{n,2}, A_{n,3}$.

First, let $q_k \downarrow$

$$\tilde{B}_j^A := \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) L_j^w(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p.$$

The method above (see (11)), the condition (6) and Lemma 2 imply

$$\tilde{B}_{n-2^A}^A \leq c \omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \|L_{n-2^A}^w\|_1 \leq c \omega_p(2^{-A}, f)$$

(we note that $|n - 2^A| \leq A - 1$) and

$$A_{n,3} \leq c Q_{n-2^A} \omega_p(2^{-A}, f).$$

Now, let $q_k \uparrow$. We use Abel's transformation for $Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w$.

$$Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} (q_{n-2^A-j} - q_{n-2^A-j-1}) j K_j^w + q_1 (n - 2^A - 1) K_{n-2^A-1}^w$$

and the definition of B_j^A and (11) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{n,3} &\leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} |q_{n-2^A-j} - q_{n-2^A-j-1}|j + q_1(n-2^A-1) \right) \\
&\leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} q_{n-2^A-j} + q_1(n-2^A-1) \right) \\
&\leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)(Q_{n-2^A} + q_1(n-2^A-1)).
\end{aligned}$$

(We note that $Q_n \geq (n-1)q_1$ for increasing sequence q_n . $A_{n,2}$ goes similarly.)

At last, we discuss $A_{n,4}, A_{n,10}, A_{n,11}$. First, we investigate $A_{n,4}$ and the others goes similarly, but we will write some words about it.

First, let $q_k \downarrow$. We note that $\mathcal{L}_j^w(x^1, x^2)$ is constant on the sets $I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)$ ($\varepsilon, \rho \in G$). This and the generalized Minkowski inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
F_j^A &:= \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot))r_A(x^1)r_A(x^2)\mathcal{L}_j^w(x^1, x^2)d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
&= \left\| \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot))r_A(x^1)r_A(x^2)\mathcal{L}_j^w(x^1, x^2)d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_j^w(\varepsilon, \rho)| \left\| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot))r_A(x^1)r_A(x^2)d\mu(x) \right\|_p \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_j^w(\varepsilon, \rho)| \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{G^2} \left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(y+x) - f(y))r_A(x^1)r_A(x^2)d\mu(x) \right|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

for $|j| \leq A$. In the way of (10) we easily get that

$$(14) \quad \left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(y+x) - f(y))r_A(x^1)r_A(x^2)d\mu(x) \right| \leq \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} \Delta_A f(x, y)d\mu(x),$$

where

$$\Delta_A f(x, y) := |f(x+y) - f(x+y+e_A^2) - f(x+y+e_A^1) + f(x+y+e_A^1+e_A^2)|.$$

(14), condition (6) and Lemma 4 (see equation (8)) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_j^A &\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_j^w(\varepsilon, \rho)| \left(\int_{G^2} \left(\int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} \Delta_A f(x, y) d\mu(x) \right)^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_j^w(\varepsilon, \rho)| \times \\
 &\quad \times \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} \left(\int_{G^2} (\Delta_A f(x, y))^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(x) \\
 &\leq c \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} |\mathcal{L}_j^w(\varepsilon, \rho)| d\mu(x) \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \\
 &\leq c \|\mathcal{L}_j^w\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \\
 &\leq c \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(15) \quad F_j^A \leq c \|\mathcal{L}_j^w\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \leq c \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f)$$

for $|j| \leq A$, and

$$A_{n,4} \leq Q_{n-2^A} F_{n-2^A}^A \leq c Q_{n-2^A} \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \leq c Q_{n-2^A} \omega_p(2^{-A}, f).$$

Now, we discuss $A_{n,4}$ for sequence $q_k \uparrow$. By Abel's transformation we write

$$Q_{n-2^A} \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} (q_{n-2^A-j} - q_{n-2^A-j-1}) j \mathcal{K}_j^w + q_1 (n - 2^A - 1) \mathcal{K}_{n-2^A-1}^w.$$

Set

$$\tilde{F}_j^A := \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{K}_j^w(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \quad \text{for } |j| \leq A.$$

The method of the discussion of F_j^A (see (15)) and Lemma 4 (see equation (7)) imply that

$$\tilde{F}_j^A \leq c \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \|\mathcal{K}_j^w\|_1 \leq c \omega_p(2^{-A}, f)$$

for $|j| \leq A$ and

$$A_{n,4} = c \omega_p(2^{-A}, f) (Q_{n-2^A} + q_1 (n - 2^A - 1)).$$

(For more details see $A_{n,2}, A_{n,3}$, while $q_k \uparrow$.)

We discuss $A_{n,10}, A_{n,11}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{n,10} &= \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}| i \tilde{F}_i^j \\
 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2^j-2} |q_{n-2^j-i} - q_{n-2^j-i-1}| i \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $q_k \uparrow$, by (12) we get that

$$A_{n,10} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} 2^j q_{n-2^j} \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

If $q_k \downarrow$, by (13) we get that

$$A_{n,10} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} 2^j q_{n-2^{j+1}} \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

At last,

$$A_{n,11} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} 2^j \tilde{F}_{2^j-1}^j \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^{j+1}+1} 2^j \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

If $q_k \uparrow$, then

$$A_{n,11} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^j} 2^j \omega_p(2^{-j}, f),$$

while, if $q_k \downarrow$

$$A_{n,11} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} q_{n-2^{j+1}} 2^j \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

Summarising our results on $A_{n,i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 11$) we could complete the proof of this theorem for sequence $q_k \uparrow$.

The second part of the proof of Theorem 1: Let the sequence q_k be nonincreasing ($q_k \downarrow$). We define $A_{n,i}$ ($i = 12, \dots, 18$) analogously as we do for $A_{n,1}, \dots, A_{n,11}$ (see the decomposition of I^2).

By (9)

$$A_{n,12} \leq c(Q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} - Q_{n-2^A+1}) \omega_p(2^{-A}, f).$$

To study $A_{n,13}, A_{n,14}, A_{n,15}, A_{n,16}$ we define \tilde{B}_j^{A-1} by

$$\tilde{B}_j^{A-1} := \|(f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) r_{A-1}(x^1) \omega_{2^{A-1}-1}(x^1) K_j^w(x^1) d\mu(x)\|_p$$

for $|j| \leq A-1$. The method of the discussion B_j^A (see (11)) and Lemma 3 imply that

$$\tilde{B}_j^{A-1} \leq c \omega_p(2^{-(A-1)}, f),$$

$$A_{n,15}, A_{n,16} \leq c q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \omega_p(2^{-(A-1)}, f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n,13}, A_{n,14} &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+l} - q_{n-2^A+l+1}| l \tilde{B}_l^{A-1} \\ &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+l} - q_{n-2^A+l+1}| l \omega_p(2^{-(A-1)}, f) \\ &\leq c(Q_{n-2^{A-1}} - Q_{n-2^A+1}) \omega_p(2^{-A}, f). \end{aligned}$$

To discuss $A_{n,17}, A_{n,18}$ we define \tilde{F}_j^{A-1} by

$$\tilde{F}_j^{A-1} := \left\| (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_{A-1}(x^1 + x^2) \omega_{2^{A-1}-1}(x^1 + x^2) \mathcal{K}_j^w(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p$$

for $|j| \leq A-1$. The method of the discussion F_j^A (see (15)) and Lemma 4 imply that

$$\tilde{F}_j^{A-1} \leq c\omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-(A-1)}, 2^{-(A-1)}, f) \leq c\omega_p(2^{-(A-1)}, f).$$

By this

$$A_{n,18} \leq q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \tilde{F}_{2^{A-1}}^{A-1} \leq cq_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \omega_p(2^{-(A-1)}, f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n,17} &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+l} - q_{n-2^A+l+1}| l \tilde{F}_l^{A-1} \\ &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+l} - q_{n-2^A+l+1}| l \omega_p(2^{-(A-1)}, f) \\ &\leq c(Q_{n-2^A-1} - Q_{n-2^A+1}) \omega_p(2^{-A}, f). \end{aligned}$$

These facts complete the proof of Theorem 1. \square

We will discuss the following cases:

a.) the nondecreasing $\{q_k\}$, in sign $q_k \uparrow$, satisfies the condition

$$(16) \quad \frac{nq_{n-1}}{Q_n} = O(1).$$

In particular (16) is true if

$$q_k \asymp k^\beta \text{ or } (\log k)^\beta \text{ for some } \beta > 0.$$

b.) the nonincreasing $\{q_k\}$, in sign $q_k \downarrow$, satisfies

bi.) $q_k \asymp k^{-\beta}$ for some $0 < \beta < 1$, or

bii.) $q_k \asymp (\log k)^{-\beta}$ for some $0 < \beta$.

(We note that the condition (6) is satisfied in these cases.)

For more details see [14].

The one-dimensional analogue of the following theorem was proved by Móricz and Siddiqi in [14]. We mention that as special case (set $q_k := 1$ for all k) we get the so-called Marcinkiewicz means of Walsh-Fourier series. More generally, when $q_k := A_k^\beta := \binom{\beta+k}{k}$ for $k \geq 1$ ($\beta \neq -1, -2, \dots$) we have the (C, β) mean of Marcinkiewicz type discussed by Goginava [10] with respect to the double Walsh-Fourier series.

At last, we note that the following theorem states that the approximation behavior of the Nörlund means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series is so good as the approximation behavior of the one-dimensional Nörlund means of Walsh-Fourier series for Lipschitz functions showed by Móricz and Siddiqi [14].

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in Lip(\alpha, p)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

Let $\{q_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers such that in case $q_k \uparrow$ the condition (16) is satisfied, while in case $q_k \downarrow$ the condition bi) or bii.) is satisfied, then

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^w(f) - f\|_p = \begin{cases} O(n^{-\alpha}), & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ O(n^{-1} \log n), & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ O(n^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

First, let $q_k \uparrow$, which satisfies the condition (16). Theorem 1 and the method of Móricz and Siddiqi in [14] immediately give our statement.

Second, let $q_k \downarrow$, which satisfies the condition bi. That is $q_k \asymp k^{-\beta}$ for some $0 < \beta < 1$, then $Q_n \asymp n^{1-\beta}$. From Theorem 1 it follows that

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^w(f) - f\|_p \leq \frac{c}{Q_n} \sum_{l=0}^{|n|-1} q_{n-2^l} 2^l 2^{-l\alpha} + O(2^{-|n|\alpha}).$$

For $0 \leq l \leq |n| - 1$ we have $2^{|n|-1} \leq n - 2^l$ and $q_{n-2^l} \leq c2^{-\beta(|n|-1)}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{t}_n^w(f) - f\|_p &\leq \frac{c}{n^{1-\beta}} \sum_{l=0}^{|n|-1} 2^{-\beta|n|} 2^{l(1-\alpha)} + O(2^{-|n|\alpha}) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{|n|-1} 2^{l(1-\alpha)} + O(2^{-|n|\alpha}) \\ &= \begin{cases} O\left(\frac{2^{|n|(1-\alpha)}}{n}\right), & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ O\left(\frac{|n|}{n}\right), & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let the condition bii. be satisfied. That is $q_k \asymp (\log k)^{-\beta}$ for some $0 < \beta$, then $Q_n \asymp n(\log n)^{-\beta}$. The proof goes analogously as we wrote above. □

REFERENCES

- [1] G.H. AGAEV, N.JA. VILENKIN, G.M. DZHAFARLI, AND A.I. RUBINSTEIN, *Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on 0-dimensional groups*, Izd. ("ELM"), Baku, (1981), (Russian).
- [2] I. BLAHOTA, G. GÁT, Norm summability of Nörlund logarithmic means on unbounded Vilenkin groups, *Anal. in Theory and Appl.* **24** (1) (2008), 1-17.
- [3] S. FRIDLI, P. MANCHANDA, AND A.H. SIDDIQI, Approximation by Walsh-Nörlund means, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **74** (2008) 593-608.
- [4] G. GÁT, U. GOGINA, Uniform and L -convergence of logarithmic means of Walsh-Fourier series, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series* **22** (2) (2006), 497-506.
- [5] G. GÁT, U. GOGINA, Uniform and L -convergence of logarithmic means of cubical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series, *East Journal on Approximations*, **10** (3) (2004), 391-412.
- [6] G. GÁT, R. TOLEDO, L^p -norm convergence of series in compact, totally disconnected groups, *Analysis Mathematica* **22** (1996), 13-24.
- [7] V.A. GLUKHOV, On the summability of multiple Fourier series with respect to multiplicative systems (Russian), *Mat. Zamet.* **39** (1986), 665-673.
- [8] U. GOGINA, G. TKEBUCHAVA, Convergence of subsequences of partial sums and logarithmic means of Walsh-Fourier series, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **72** (2006), 159-177.

- [9] U. GOGINAVA, On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **115** (2002), 9-20.
- [10] U. GOGINAVA, Approximation properties of (C, α) means of double Walsh-Fourier series, *Analysis in Theory and Applications* **20 (1)** (2004) 77-98.
- [11] M. A. JASTREBOVA, On approximation of functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition by arithmetic means of their Walsh-Fourier series, *Math. Sb.* **71** (1966), 214-226 (Russian).
- [12] F. MÓRICZ, B. E. RHOADES, Approximation by Nörlund means of double Fourier series for Lipschitz functions, *Journal of Approx. Theory* **50** (1987), 341-358.
- [13] F. MÓRICZ, F. SCHIPP, On the integrability and L^1 convergence of Walsh series with coefficients of bounded variation, *Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl.* **146 (1)** (1990), 99-109.
- [14] F. MÓRICZ, A. SIDDIQI, Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh-Fourier series, *Journal of Approx. Theory* **70 (3)** (1992), 375-389.
- [15] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON, AND J. PÁL, *Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger (Bristol-New York 1990).
- [16] V.A. SKVORTSOV, Certain estimates of approximation of functions by Cesàro means of Walsh-Fourier series, *Mat. Zametki* **29** (1981), 539-547 (Russian).
- [17] SH. YANO, On Walsh series, *Tohoku Math. J.* **3** (1951), 223-242.
- [18] SH. YANO, On approximation by Walsh functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1951), 962-967.

KÁROLY NAGY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA, P.O. BOX 166, H-4400 NYÍREGYHÁZA, HUNGARY

nkaroly@nyf.hu