

ON THE MAXIMAL OPERATOR OF THE MARCINKIEWICZ-FEJÉR MEANS OF DOUBLE WALSH-KACZMARZ-FOURIER SERIES

USHANGI GOGINAVA AND KÁROLY NAGY

Dedicated to Professor Zoltán Daróczy on the occasion of his seventieth birthday.

ABSTRACT. In the paper [3] we proved that the maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the 2-dimensional Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{2/3}$ to the space $L_{2/3}$.

Now, in this paper we prove a stronger result, that is there exists a martingale $f \in H_{2/3}$ such that the maximal Marcinkiewicz-Fejér operator with respect to Walsh-Kaczmarz system does not belong to the space $L_{2/3}$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh-Kaczmarz system, Fejér means, Marcinkiewicz means, Maximal operator.

First, we give a brief introduction to the theory of dyadic analysis [8]. Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$). The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denote by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$I_0(x) := G, \quad I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\},$$

($x \in G, n \in \mathbf{N}$). These sets are called dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G , $I_n := I_n(0)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G$, the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in \mathbf{N}$).

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$$

the k th Rademacher function. If $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$, where $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$), i. e. n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The first author is supported by the Georgian National Foundation for Scientific Research, grant no GNSF/ST07/3-171.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

The Walsh-Kaczmarz functions are defined by $\kappa_0 := 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_{|n|-k-1}}.$$

For $A \in \mathbf{N}$ define the transformation $\tau_A : G \rightarrow G$ by

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots).$$

By the definition of τ_A (see [11]), we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_{n-2|n|}(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in G).$$

The space $L_p(G^2)$, $0 < p \leq \infty$ with norms or quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ is defined in the usual way.

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k(x),$$

where $\alpha_k = w_k$ or κ_k . Recall that (see e.g. [8])

$$(1) \quad D_{2^n}(x) := D_{2^n}^w(x) = D_{2^n}^\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n(0), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin I_n(0). \end{cases}$$

The two-dimensional dyadic cubes are of the form

$$I_{n,n}(x, y) := I_n(x) \times I_n(y).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,n}(x, y) : (x, y) \in G \times G\}$ is denoted by $F_{n,n}$.

Denote by $f = (f^{(n,n)}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ a martingale with respect to $(F_{n,n}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [15]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^\square = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f^{(n,n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^\square(x, y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_{n,n}(x, y))} \left| \int_{I_{n,n}(x, y)} f(u, v) d\mu(u, v) \right|, \quad (x, y) \in G \times G.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p^\square(G \times G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^\square\|_p < \infty.$$

The Kroneker product $(\alpha_{m,n} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ of two Walsh(-Kaczmarz) system is said to be the two-dimensional Walsh(-Kaczmarz) system. Thus,

$$\alpha_{m,n}(x, y) = \alpha_n(x) \alpha_m(y).$$

If $f \in L_1(G^2)$, then the number $\hat{f}^\alpha(n, m) := \int_{G^2} f \alpha_{m,n} (n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is said to be the (n, m) th Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficient of f . We can extend this definition to martingales in the usual way (see Weisz [14, 15]).

Denote by $S_{n,m}^\alpha$ the (n, m) th rectangular partial sum of the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series of a martingale f . Namely,

$$S_{n,m}^\alpha(f; x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \hat{f}^\alpha(k, i) \alpha_{k,i}(x, y).$$

The Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of a martingale f are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_n^\alpha(f; x, y) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n S_{k,k}^\alpha(f, x, y).$$

The 2-dimensional Dirichlet kernels and Marcinkiewicz-Fejér kernels are defined by

$$D_{k,l}^\alpha(x, y) := D_k^\alpha(x) D_l^\alpha(y), \quad K_n^\alpha(x, y) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_{k,k}^\alpha(x, y).$$

For the martingale f we consider the maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*} f(x, y) = \sup_n |\mathcal{M}_n^\kappa(f, x, y)|.$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p -atom, if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube $I \times I$, such that

- a) $\int_{I \times I} a d\mu = 0$;
- b) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I \times I)^{-1/p}$;
- c) $\text{supp } a \subset I \times I$.

The basic result of atomic decomposition is the following one.

Theorem A. (Weisz [15]). *A martingale $f = (f^{(n,n)} : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is in H_p^\square ($0 < p \leq 1$) if and only if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n, 2^n} a_k = f^{(n,n)},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_p^\square} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In 1939 for the two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series Marcinkiewicz [7] has proved for $f \in L \log L([0, 2\pi]^2)$ that the means

$$\mathcal{M}_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} S_{j,j}(f)$$

converge a.e. to f as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Zhizhiashvili [16] improved this result for $f \in L([0, 2\pi]^2)$.

For the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series Weisz [13] proved that the maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}^{w*} f = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{j,j}^w(f) \right|$$

is bounded from the two-dimensional dyadic martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 2/3$ and is of weak type (1,1). The first author [4] proved that the assumption $p > 2/3$ is essential for the boundedness of the maximal operator \mathcal{M}^{w*} from the Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ to the space $L_p(G^2)$.

In 1974 Schipp [9] and Young [12] proved that the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. Gát [1] proved, for any integrable functions, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function itself. Gát's Theorem was extended by Simon [10] to H_p spaces, namely that the maximal operator of Fejér means of one-dimensional Fourier series is bounded from Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ into the space $L_p(G^2)$ for $p > 1/2$.

The second author [6] proved, that for any integrable functions, the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means with respect to the two dimensional Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function itself. This Theorem was extended in [2]. Namely, the following is true:

Theorem B. *Let $p > 2/3$, then the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}$ of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series is bounded from the Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ to the space $L_p(G^2)$.*

In the paper [3] it was proved that the assumption $p > 2/3$ is essential for the boundedness of the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}$ from the Hardy space $H_p(G^2)$ to the space $L_p(G^2)$. Namely,

Theorem C. *The maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}$ of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{2/3}(G^2)$ to the space $L_{2/3}(G^2)$.*

We will prove a stronger theorem than Theorem C.

Theorem 1. *There exists a martingale $f \in H_{2/3}(G \times G)$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{M}^{\kappa,*} f\|_{L_{2/3}} = +\infty.$$

Proof. Let $\{m_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{2/3}} < \infty,$$

$$(4) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} < \frac{2^{8m_k}}{m_k},$$

$$(5) \quad \frac{2^{8m_k-1}}{m_{k-1}} < \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

We note that such an increasing sequence $\{m_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ which satisfies condition (3)-(5) can be constructed. Let

$$f^{(A,A)}(x, y) := \sum_{k, 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k(x, y), \text{ where } \lambda_k := \frac{1}{m_k}$$

and

$$a_k(x, y) := 2^{2m_k} (D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)).$$

The martingale $f := (f^{(0,0)}, f^{(1,1)}, \dots, f^{(A,A)}, \dots) \in H_{2/3}^\square(G \times G)$. Indeed,

$$S_{2^A, 2^A} a_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A \leq 2m_k, \\ a_k(x, y), & \text{if } A > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

$$f^{(A,A)}(x, y) = \sum_{k, 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_{2^A, 2^A} a_k(x, y),$$

from (3) and Theorem A we conclude that $f \in H_{2/3}^\square(G \times G)$.

Now, we investigate the Fourier coefficients. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G \times G} f^{(A)}(x, y) \kappa_i(x) \kappa_j(y) d\mu(x, y) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, A = 0, 1, \dots, 2m_k, \\ \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, A > 2m_k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$(6) \quad \widehat{f}^\kappa(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Set $q_{A,s} := 2^{2A} + 2^{2s}$ for any $A > s$.

We decompose the $(q_{m_k,s})$ th Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k,s}}^\kappa f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k,s}} \sum_{j=1}^{q_{m_k,s}} S_{j,j}^\kappa f(x, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k,s}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{j,j}^\kappa f(x, y) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k,s}} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k,s}} S_{j,j}^\kappa f(x, y) \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Let $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\}$ for some k . Then from (6) and (4), it is easy to show that

$$|S_{j,j}^\kappa f(x, y)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} |\widehat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq \frac{C 2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I| &\leq \frac{1}{q_{m_k,s}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2m_k}-1} |S_{j,j}^{\kappa} f(x,y)| \leq \frac{c}{q_{m_k,s}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \\
(8) \quad &\leq \frac{c2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k,s}} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \leq \frac{c2^{m_k}}{km_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we discuss II .

Let $i \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\}$. Then from (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{i,i}^{\kappa} f(x,y) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{i-1} \widehat{f}^{\kappa}(\nu, \mu) \kappa_{\nu}(x) \kappa_{\mu}(y) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \widehat{f}^{\kappa}(\nu, \mu) \kappa_{\nu}(x) \kappa_{\mu}(y) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_k}}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_k}}^{i-1} \widehat{f}^{\kappa}(\nu, \mu) \kappa_{\nu}(x) \kappa_{\mu}(y) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{2m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) \times (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \\
(9) \quad &\quad + \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k} (D_i^{\kappa}(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_i^{\kappa}(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k,s}} (q_{m_k,s} - 2^{2m_k} + 1) \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{2m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k,s}} \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k} \left(\sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k,s}} (D_i^{\kappa}(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_i^{\kappa}(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)) \right) \\
&=: II_1 + II_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4), (5) and $|D_{2^n}(x)| \leq 2^n$, we get that

$$|II_1| \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}$$

and

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k,s}}^{\kappa} f(x,y) \right| \geq |II_2| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

We can write the n th Dirichlet kernel with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n^{\kappa}(x) &= D_{2^{|n|}}(x) + \sum_{k=2^{|n|}}^{n-1} r_{|k|}(x) w_{k-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|k|}(x)) \\
(10) \quad &= D_{2^{|n|}}(x) + r_{|n|}(x) D_{n-2^{|n|}}^w(\tau_{|n|}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the help of this equation we immediatelly have for II_2 that

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 &= \frac{2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k,s}m_k} r_{2m_k}(x)r_{2m_k}(y) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2s}} D_i^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x))D_i^w(\tau_{2m_k}(y)) \\ &= \frac{2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k,s}m_k} r_{2m_k}(x)r_{2m_k}(y) 2^{2s} K_{2^{2s}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x), \tau_{2m_k}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k,s}}^\kappa f(x, y) \right| \geq \frac{2^{2s}}{m_k} |K_{2^{2s}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x), \tau_{2m_k}(y))| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

We decompose the set G as the following disjoint union:

$$G = I_A \cup \bigcup_{t=0}^{A-1} J_t^A,$$

where $A > t \geq 1$ and $J_t^A := \{x \in G : x_{A-1} = \dots = x_{A-t} = 0, x_{A-t-1} = 1\}$, $J_0^A := \{x \in G : x_{A-1} = 1\}$. Notice that, by the definition of τ_A we have $\tau_A(J_t^A) = I_t \setminus I_{t+1}$. By Corollary 2.4 in [5], for $(x, y) \in I_A \times I_A$

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{K}_{2^A}^w(x, y) = \frac{(2^A + 1)(2^{A+1} + 1)}{6}.$$

Therefore, for $k > C$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G \times G} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu &\geq \sum_{t=1}^{2m_k-1} \int_{J_t^{2m_k} \times J_t^{2m_k}} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lfloor \frac{m_k}{6} \rfloor + 1}^{m_k-1} \int_{J_{2^s}^{2m_k} \times J_{2^s}^{2m_k}} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lfloor \frac{m_k}{6} \rfloor + 1}^{m_k-1} \int_{J_{2^s}^{2m_k} \times J_{2^s}^{2m_k}} |\mathcal{M}_{q_{m_k,s}}^\kappa|^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lfloor \frac{m_k}{6} \rfloor + 1}^{m_k-1} \int_{J_{2^s}^{2m_k} \times J_{2^s}^{2m_k}} \left(\frac{2^{2s}}{m_k} |K_{2^{2s}}^w \circ (\tau_{2m_k} \times \tau_{2m_k})| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \right)^{2/3} d\mu \\ &\geq \sum_{s=\lfloor \frac{m_k}{6} \rfloor + 1}^{m_k-1} \int_{(I_{2^s} \setminus I_{2^{s+1}}) \times (I_{2^s} \setminus I_{2^{s+1}})} \left(\frac{2^{2s}}{m_k} |K_{2^{2s}}^w| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \right)^{2/3} d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

and (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{G \times G} |\mathcal{M}^{\kappa*}|^{2/3} d\mu &\geq \sum_{s=\lfloor \frac{m_k}{6} \rfloor + 1}^{m_k - 1} \int_{(I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1}) \times (I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1})} \left| \frac{2^{6s}}{m_k} - \frac{C 2^{m_k}}{k m_k} \right|^{2/3} d\mu \\
&\geq c \sum_{s=\lfloor \frac{m_k}{6} \rfloor + 1}^{m_k - 1} \int_{(I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1}) \times (I_{2s} \setminus I_{2s+1})} \left(\frac{2^{6s}}{m_k} \right)^{2/3} d\mu \\
&\geq c \sum_{s=\lfloor \frac{m_k}{6} \rfloor + 1}^{m_k - 1} \frac{2^{4s}}{m_k^{2/3}} 2^{-4s} \\
&\geq c m_k^{1/3} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the main theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. GÁT, On $(C, 1)$ summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Studia Math.* **130**, (1998), 135-148.
- [2] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA, K. NAGY, On the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.* (2009) (to appear).
- [3] U. GOGINAVA, K. NAGY, On the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series, *Math. Pannonica* **19/1**, (2008), 49-56.
- [4] U. GOGINAVA, The maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, *East J. Approx.* **12**, no. 3 (2006), 295-302.
- [5] K. NAGY, Some convergence properties of the Walsh-Kaczmarz system with respect to the Marcinkiewicz means, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Serie II. Suppl.* **76**, (2005), 503-516.
- [6] K. NAGY, On the two-dimensional Marcinkiewicz means with respect to Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *J. Approx. Theory* **142**, (2006), 138-165.
- [7] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, Sur une methode remarquable de sommation des series doubles de Fourier, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **8**, (1939), 149-160.
- [8] F. SCHIPP, W.R. WADE, P. SIMON, AND J. PÁL, *Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger (Bristol-New York 1990).
- [9] F. SCHIPP, Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems, *Analysis Math.* **2**, (1976), 63-75.
- [10] P. SIMON, On the Cesàro summability with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *J. Approx. Theory* **106**, (2000), 249-261.
- [11] V.A. SKVORTSOV, On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Anal. Math.* **7**, (1981), 141-150.
- [12] W. S. YOUNG, On the a.e. convergence of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44**, (1974), 353-358.
- [13] F. WEISZ, Convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series and Hardy spaces, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.* **17**, (2001), 32-44.
- [14] F. WEISZ, *Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis*, Springer-Verlang, Berlin, 1994.
- [15] F. WEISZ, *Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [16] L.V. ZHIZHIASHVILI, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz, *Izv. Akad. nauk USSR Ser Math.* **32**, (1968), 1112-1122.

U. GOGINA, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS , FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES, TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128, GEORGIA

E-mail address: z_goginava@hotmail.com

K. NAGY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA, P.O. BOX 166, NYÍREGYHÁZA, H-4400 HUNGARY

E-mail address: nkaroly@nyf.hu