

ON THE MARCINKIEWICZ-FEJÉR MEANS OF DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES WITH RESPECT TO THE WALSH-KACZMARZ SYSTEM

GYÖRGY GÁT, USHANGI GOGINAVA AND KÁROLY NAGY

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is bounded from the dyadic Hardy-Lorentz space H_{pq} into Lorentz space L_{pq} for every $p > 2/3$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. As a consequence, we obtain the a.e. convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. That is, $\sigma_n(f, x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2)$ a.e. as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1939 for the two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier partial sums $S_{j,j}(f)$ Marcinkiewicz [12] has proved for $f \in L \log L([0, 2\pi]^2)$ that the means

$$\sigma_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n S_{j,j}(f)$$

converge a.e. to f as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Zhizhiashvili [22] improved this result for $f \in L([0, 2\pi]^2)$. Dyachenko [2] proved this result for dimensions greater than 2.

For the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series Weisz [19] proved that the maximal operator

$$\sigma^* f = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n S_{j,j}(f) \right|$$

is bounded from the two-dimensional dyadic martingale Hardy-Lorentz space H_{pq} to the Lorentz space L_{pq} for $p > 2/3$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ and is of weak type (1,1). Goginava [9] generalized the theorem of Weisz for d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. The a. e. convergence of the arithmetic means of quadratical partial sums of double Vilenkin-Fourier series was studied by Gát [4].

It is well-known that $\varepsilon_n |D_n(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ [13] for every $x \in K$. Dirichlet kernels in the Kaczmarz ordering do not satisfy this property [17]. Namely, in 1948 Šneider [17] introduced the Walsh-Kaczmarz system and showed that the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_n^\kappa(x)}{\log n} \geq C > 0$$

holds a.e. Consequently, it is harder to obtain pointwise convergence results for Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series than for Walsh-Fourier series. In 1974 Schipp [14] and Young [18] proved that the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. Skvorcov in 1981 [16]

The author is supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), grant no. T 048780.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh-Kaczmarz system, Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means, Maximal operator.

showed that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge uniformly to f for any continuous functions f . Gát [3] proved, for any integrable functions, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function itself. Gát's Theorem was extended by Simon [15] to H_{pq} spaces, namely that the maximal operator of Fejér means of one-dimensional Fourier series is bounded from Hardy-Lorentz space into Lorentz space for $p > 1/2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. He also showed the (H_{pq}, L_{pq}) -boundedness for every $0 < p \leq 1$, while the maximal operator of the Fejér means is considered only of order 2^n .

In [5] it was proved that the maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is bounded from the dyadic Hardy-Lorentz space H_{pq} into Lorentz L_{pq} space for every $p > 1/2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ provided that the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over special indices. As a consequence, we obtain a.e. convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series for special indices with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. That is $\sigma_{2^n}(f, x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2)$ a.e. as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this present paper we generalize this result for maximal operator σ^* and prove that the maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is bounded from the dyadic Hardy-Lorentz space H_{pq} into Lorentz space L_{pq} for every $p > 2/3$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ (the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over all indices.). As a consequence, we obtain the a.e. convergence of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, that is $\sigma_n(f, x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2)$ a.e. as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let K be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of K are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$). The group operation on K is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denote by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group K is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of K can be given in the following way:

$$I_0(x) := K, \quad I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in K : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\},$$

$$(x \in K, n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

These sets are called dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in K$ denote the null element of K , $I_n := I_n(0)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in K$, the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in \mathbf{N}$).

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in K$ denote

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$$

the k th Rademacher function. If $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$, where $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$), i. e. n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in K, n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

The Walsh-Kaczmarz functions are defined by $\kappa_0 := 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k}.$$

For $A \in \mathbf{N}$ define the transformation $\tau_A : K \rightarrow K$ by

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots).$$

By the definition of τ_A (see [16]), we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_{n-2|n|}(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in K).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic 2-dimensional cube I_k^2 of length $2^{-k} \times 2^{-k}$ will be denoted by F_k ($k \in \mathbf{N}$). Let $L^p(K^2)$ denote the usual Lebesgue spaces on K^2 with the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_p$.

The Lorentz space $L_{pq}(K^2)$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ with norms or quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_{pq}$ is defined in the usual way (For details see e.g. Weisz [20]).

Denote by $f = (f_n, n \in \mathbf{N})$ a one-parameter martingale with respect to $(F_n, n \in \mathbf{N})$. The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f_n|.$$

For $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ the Hardy-Lorentz martingale space $H_{p,q}(K^2)$ consists all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_{p,q}} = \|f^*\|_{p,q} < \infty.$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p -atom, if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube I^2 , such that

- a) $\int_{I^2} a d\mu = 0$;
- b) $\|a\|_{\infty} \leq \mu(I^2)^{-1/p}$;
- c) $\text{supp } a \subset I^2$.

An operator T which maps the set of martingale into the collection of measurable functions will be called p -quasi-local, if there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for every p -atom a

$$\int_{K^2 \setminus I^2} |Ta|^p \leq C_p < \infty,$$

where I^2 is the support of the atom a .

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k(x),$$

where $\alpha_k = w_k$ or κ_k . Recall that

$$(1) \quad D_{2^n}(x) := D_{2^n}^w(x) = D_{2^n}^\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n(0), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin I_n(0). \end{cases}$$

The Fejér kernels are defined as follows

$$K_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k^\alpha(x).$$

The Kroneker product $(\alpha_{m,n} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ of two Walsh(Kaczmarz) system is said to be the two-dimensional Walsh(Kaczmarz) system. Thus,

$$\alpha_{m,n}(x, y) = \alpha_n(x) \alpha_m(y).$$

If $f \in L(K^2)$, then the number $\hat{f}^\alpha(n, m) := \int_{K^2} f \alpha_{m,n}$ ($n, m \in \mathbf{N}$) is said to be the (n, m) th Walsh-Fourier coefficient of f . We can extend this definition to martingales in the usual way (see Weisz [20, 21]). Denote by $S_{n,m}^\alpha$ the (n, m) th partial sum of the Walsh-Fourier series of a martingale f , namely,

$$S_{n,m}^\alpha(f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \hat{f}^\alpha(k, i) \alpha_{k,i}(x).$$

The Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of a martingale f are defined by

$$\sigma_n^\alpha f(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{k,k}^\alpha(f, x^1, x^2).$$

The 2-dimensional Dirichlet kernels and Marcinkiewicz-Fejér kernels are defined by

$$D_{k,l}^\alpha(x^1, x^2) := D_k^\alpha(x^1) D_l^\alpha(x^2), \quad K_n^\alpha(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_{k,k}^\alpha(x^1, x^2).$$

Let

$$K_{a,b}^\alpha := \sum_{j=a}^{a+b-1} D_{j,j}^\alpha,$$

and $n^{(s)} := \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$ ($n, s \in \mathbf{N}$). By simple calculations we get

$$nK_n^\alpha = \sum_{s=0}^{|n|} n_s K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^\alpha.$$

For the martingale f we consider the maximal operators

$$\sigma^* f(x^1, x^2) = \sup_n |\sigma_n^\kappa(f, x^1, x^2)|$$

and

$$\sigma^\# f(x^1, x^2) = \sup_A |\sigma_{2^A}^\kappa(f, x^1, x^2)|.$$

3. FORMULATION OF MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in H_{p,q}(K^2)$, $p > \frac{2}{3}$, $0 < q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\|\sigma^* f\|_{p,q} \leq C(p, q) \|f\|_{H_{p,q}}.$$

Corollary 1. *Let $f \in L_1(K^2)$. Then*

$$\|\sigma^* f\|_{weak_L_1} \leq C \|f\|_{L_1}.$$

Corollary 2 (Nagy [11]). *Let $f \in L_1(K^2)$. Then*

$$\sigma_n(f, x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2) \quad a.e. \quad as \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

4. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

We shall need the following lemmas (see [3, 4, 10, 5, 19]).

Lemma 1 (Weisz). *Suppose that the operator T is sublinear and p -quasi-local for each $0 < p_0 < p \leq 1$. If T is bounded from $L_\infty(K^2)$ to $L_\infty(K^2)$, then*

$$\|Tf\|_{pq} \leq C(p, q) \|f\|_{pq} \quad (f \in H_{pq}(K^2))$$

for every $0 < p_0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. In particular for $f \in L_1(K^2)$, holds

$$\|Tf\|_{1,\infty} = \|Tf\|_{weak_L_1(K^2)} \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

Lemma 2 (Gát). *Suppose that $s, a, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}$. If $s \leq a \leq |n|$, then $|K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x)| \leq c2^{s+a}$, while if $a < s \leq |n|$, then*

$$K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x - x_a e_a \notin I_s, \\ w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x) 2^{s+a-1} & \text{if } x - x_a e_a \in I_s. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3 (Nagy). *Suppose that $s, a, n \in \mathbf{N}$, $(x^1, x^2) \in I_{|n|+1} \times (I_a \setminus I_{a+1})$. If $s \leq a \leq |n|$, then*

$$\left| K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x^1, x^2) \right| \leq c2^{s+a}(n^{(s+1)} + 2^s).$$

If $a < s \leq |n|$, then we have

$$K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists l, a < a+l < s, x^2 - x_a^2 e_a - e_{a+l} \notin I_s, x_{a+l}^2 \neq 0, \\ w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^2) 2^{2a+s+l-2} & \text{if } \exists l, a < a+l < s, x^2 - x_a^2 e_a - e_{a+l} \in I_s, x_{a+l}^2 \neq 0, \\ w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^2) 2^{a-2} n(s, a) & \text{if } x^2 - x_a^2 e_a \in I_s, \end{cases}$$

where $n(s, a) = [n^{(s+1)} 2^{s+1} - 2^a (2^s - 2^{a-1} + \frac{1}{2}) - 2^s (2^s - 2)]$.

Lemma 4 (Nagy). *Let $A, s, l \in \mathbf{N}$, $s \leq l < A$, $(x^1, x^2) \in (I_a \setminus I_{a+1}) \times (I_b \setminus I_b)$. Suppose that $s \leq a \leq b \leq A$, then*

$$K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x^1, x^2) \leq c2^{a+b+s}.$$

If $a \leq b < s \leq A$ then

$$K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists i \in B_1, x_i^1 \neq x_i^2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists m \in B_2, x^1 - e_a - e_m \notin I_{b+1}, x_m^1 = 1, \\ -w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1 + x^2) 2^{s+a+m-2} & \text{if } \forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2, \exists m \in B_2, x^1 - e_a - e_m \in I_{b+1}, x_m^1 = 1, \\ w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1 + x^2) 2^{s+2a-1} & \text{if } x^1 - e_a \in I_{b+1} (\forall i \in B_1, x_i^1 = x_i^2), \end{cases}$$

where $B_1 = \{b+1, \dots, s-1\}$, $B_2 = \{a+1, \dots, b\}$.

Lemma 5 (Nagy). *For $k \in \mathbf{P}$ and $(x^1, x^2) \in K^2$ holds*

$$\begin{aligned} k \quad & K_k^\kappa(x^1, x^2) = 2^{|k|} K_{2^{|k|}}^\kappa(x^1, x^2) \\ & + (k - 2^{|k|})(D_{2^{|k|}, 2^{|k|}}(x^1, x^2) + D_{2^{|k|}}(x^1)r_{|k|}(x^2)K_{k-2^{|k|}}^w(\tau_{|k|}(x^2))) \\ & + D_{2^{|k|}}(x^2)r_{|k|}(x^1)K_{k-2^{|k|}}^w(\tau_{|k|}(x^1)) \\ & + r_{|k|}(x^1 + x^2)K_{k-2^{|k|}}^w(\tau_{|k|}(x^1), \tau_{|k|}(x^2))). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. *We have*

$$\sup_k \int_{K^2} |K_k^\kappa(x^1, x^2)| dx^1 dx^2 < \infty.$$

Proof. Since [7, 8] $\sup_k \int_{K^2} |K_k^w(x^1, x^2)| dx^1 dx^2 < \infty$ and $\sup_k \int_K |K_k^w(x)| dx < \infty$ we obtain the proof of Corollary from Lemma 5.

We need the following lemma proved in [5].

Lemma 6 (Gát, Goginava, Nagy). *The operator $\sigma^\#$ is p -quasi-local for each $1/2 < p \leq 1$.*

Lemma 7. *Let $(x^1, x^2) \in (I_a \setminus I_{a+1}) \times (I_b \setminus I_{b+1})$, where $a < s \leq b$. Then*

$$\left| K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x^1, x^2) \right| \leq c2^{a+b+s} \sum_{m=a}^{s-1} \mathbf{1}_{I_s(0, \dots, 0, x_a=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_m=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1).$$

Proof. We can write

$$K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x^1, x^2) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^s-1} D_{j+n^{(s+1)}}^w(x^1) D_{j+n^{(s+1)}}^w(x^2).$$

It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{j+n^{(s+1)}}^w(x^1) &= D_{n^{(s+1)}}^w(x^1) + w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1) D_j^w(x^1) = w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1) D_j^w(x^1), \\ D_{j+n^{(s+1)}}^w(x^2) &= D_{n^{(s+1)}}^w(x^2) + w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^2) D_j^w(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(x^1, x^2) &= w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1) D_{n^{(s+1)}}^w(x^2) 2^s K_{2^s}^w(x^1) \\ (2) \quad & + w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1 + x^2) \sum_{j=0}^{2^s-1} D_j^w(x^1) D_j^w(x^2) = I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2, we have the following estimation

$$(3) \quad |I| \leq c2^{\alpha+b+s} \mathbf{1}_{I_s(0, \dots, 0, x_a=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1).$$

To estimate II we write

$$(4) \quad |II| \leq \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2^s-1} j D_j(x^1) \right|.$$

Since

$$D_j^w(x^1) = w_j(x^1) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{a-1} j_k 2^k - j_a 2^a \right), (x^1 \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}),$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^s-1} j D_j^w(x^1) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^s-1} j w_j(x^1) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{a-1} j_k 2^k - j_a 2^a \right).$$

If there exist coordinates $x_{a+l} \neq 0$ and $x_{a+q} \neq 0$ ($a < a+l < a+q < s$), then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^s-1} j D_j^w(x^1) = \sum_{j_i=0, i \neq a+l, a+q}^1 \sum_{j_{a+l}=0}^1 \sum_{j_{a+q}=0}^1 (j_{a+l} 2^{a+l} + j_{a+q} 2^{a+q} + \Phi_1) \times (-1)^{j_{a+l}+j_{a+q}} \Phi_2,$$

where the functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 does not depend on j_{a+l} and j_{a+q} . Consequently, we can write

$$\sum_{j_{a+l}=0}^1 (j_{a+q} 2^{a+q} + \Phi_1) \times (-1)^{j_{a+l}+j_{a+q}} \Phi_2 = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{j_{a+q}=0}^1 j_{a+l} 2^{a+l} \times (-1)^{j_{a+l}+j_{a+q}} \Phi_2 = 0.$$

These give that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{2^s-1} j D_j^w(x^1) = 0 \text{ for } x - e_{a+l} x_{a+l} \notin I_s \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, s-a-1.$$

Combining (2)-(5), we complete the proof of Lemma 7.

Lemma 8. *Let $n < 2^{A+1}$, $A > N$ and $x \in I_N(x_0, \dots, x_m = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_l = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $l = 0, \dots, N-1$, $m = -1, 0, \dots, l$. Then*

$$\int_{I_N} n |K_n^w(\tau_A(x+t))| dt \leq c \frac{2^A}{2^{m+l}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & I_N(x_0, \dots, x_m = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_l = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & := I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l = 1, 0, \dots, 0), \text{ for } m = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2, we obtain that $K_{n(s+1),2^s}^w(\tau_A(x+t)) = 0$ for $s \geq A-m$. Hence, we can suppose that $s < A-m$.

Using Lemma 2, $K_{n(s+1),2^s}^w(\tau_A(x+t)) \neq 0$ implies that

1)

$$t \in I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_N, \dots, x_{A-1}) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq s < A-m;$$

2)

$$t \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N, \dots, x_{q-1}, 1-x_q, x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{A-1}) \quad \text{if } A-N < s < A-l;$$

3)

$$t \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N, \dots, t_{A-s-1}, x_{A-s}, \dots, x_{q-1}, 1-x_q, x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{A-1}) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq A-N;$$

4)

$$t \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N, \dots, t_{q-1}, 1-x_q, x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{A-s}, \dots, x_{A-1}) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq s < A-N.$$

Consequently, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N} n |K_n^w(\tau_A(x+t))| dt \leq \sum_{s=0}^{A-m} \int_{I_N} |K_{n(s+1),2^s}^w(\tau_A(x+t))| dt \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{A-m} \frac{2^{s+A-l}}{2^A} + \sum_{s=A-N}^{A-l} \sum_{q=N}^A \frac{2^{s+A-q}}{2^A} + \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \sum_{q=A-s}^A \frac{2^{s+A-q} 2^{A-s-N}}{2^A} + \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \sum_{q=N}^{A-s} \frac{2^{s+A-q} 2^{q-N}}{2^A} \right\} \\ & \leq c \left\{ \frac{2^A}{2^{m+l}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{N+l}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{2N}} + \sum_{s=0}^{A-m} \frac{2^s (A-s-N+1)}{2^{2N}} \right\} \leq c \frac{2^A}{2^{m+l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8 is proved.

Lemma 9. Let $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{m^1}^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{m^2}^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $m^1 \leq m^2$, $A > N$ and $n < 2^{A+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} n |K_n^w(\tau_A(x^1+t^1), \tau_A(x^2+t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m^1-1} \sum_{q^2=m^1}^{m^2} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^2+q^2+r}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, x_{r+1}^2, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^2, x_{m^1}^2=0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq A-s < m^1$. Then using Lemma 4, $K_{n(s+1),2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1+t^1), \tau_A(x^2+t^2)) \neq 0$ implies that

1)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

$$x_{A-s+1}^1 = x_{A-s+1}^2, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1 = x_{m^1-1}^2, x_{m^1}^2 = \dots = x_{q^2-1}^2 = x_{q^2+1}^2 = \dots = x_{m^2-1}^2 = 0, x_{q^2}^2 = 1,$$

$$\text{where } m^1 < q^2 < m^2;$$

2)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{q^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

$$x_{A-s+1}^1 = x_{A-s+1}^2, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1 = x_{m^1-1}^2, x_{m^1}^1 = \dots = x_{m^2-1}^2 = 0;$$

3)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{q^1-1}^1, 1 - x_{q^1}^1, x_{q^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{l^1-1}^1, 1 - x_{l^1}^1, x_{l^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1)$$

$$\times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

$$x_{A-s+1}^1 = x_{A-s+1}^2, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1 = x_{m^1-1}^2, x_{m^1}^1 = 1, x_{m^1+1}^1 = \dots = x_{m^2-1}^2 = 0.$$

Consequently, from Lemma 4 we can write

$$\sum_{s=A-m^1+1}^A \int_{I_N \times I_N} \left| K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) \right| dt^1 dt^2$$

$$\leq c \sum_{s=A-m^1+1}^A \left\{ \sum_{q^2=m^1}^{m^2} \frac{2^{s+A-m^2+A-q^2}}{2^{2A}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_{A-s}^2, x_{A-s+1}^1, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{q^2=N}^A \frac{2^{s+A-m^2+A-q^2}}{2^{2A}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_{A-s}^2, x_{A-s+1}^1, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2)$$

$$+ \left. \sum_{q^1=N}^A \sum_{l^1=q^1}^A \frac{2^{s+A-l^1+A-q^1}}{2^{2A}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_{A-s}^2, x_{A-s+1}^1, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\}$$

$$(6) \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m^1-1} \sum_{q^2=m^1}^{m^2} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^2+q^2+r}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, x_{r+1}^1, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\}.$$

Let $m^1 \leq A-s < m^2$. Then using Lemmas 3 and 7, $K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) \neq 0$ implies that

4)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{q^1-1}^1, 1 - x_{q^1}^1, x_{q^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{l^1-1}^1, 1 - x_{l^1}^1, x_{l^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1)$$

$$\times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2), \quad x_{A-s+1}^2 = \dots = x_{m^2-1}^2 = 0;$$

5)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

$$x_{A-s}^2 = \dots = x_{q^2-1}^2 = x_{q^2+1}^2 = \dots = x_{m^2-1}^2 = 0, \quad x_{q^2}^2 = 1;$$

6)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{q^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

$$x_{A-s}^2 = \dots = x_{m^2-1}^2 = 0.$$

Consequently, we can write

$$\sum_{s=A-m^2+1}^{A-m^1} \int_{I_N \times I_N} \left| K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) \right| dt^1 dt^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \sum_{s=A-m^2+1}^{A-m^1} \left\{ \sum_{q^1=N}^{A-1} \sum_{l^1=q^1}^{A-1} \frac{2^{s+A-l^1+A-q^1}}{2^{2A}} + \frac{2^{s+A-m^2+A-m^1}}{2^{2A}} \sum_{q^2=A-s}^{m^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_{A-s-1}^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{q^2=N}^{A-1} \frac{2^{s+A-q^2+A-m^1}}{2^{2A}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_{A-s}^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\} \\
&\leq c \left\{ \frac{2^A}{2^{2N+m^1}} + \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{N+m^1+r}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\} \\
(7) \quad &\leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $m^2 \leq A-s < N$. Then using Lemmas 3 and 7, $K_{n(s+1), 2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1+t^1), \tau_A(x^2+t^2)) \neq 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
7) \quad &(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2), \\
8) \quad &(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^1, \dots, t_{q^2-1}^1, x_{q^2}^1, \dots, x_{q^1-1}^1, 1-x_{q^1}^1, x_{q^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{l^1-1}^1, 1-x_{l^1}^1, x_{l^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \\
&\quad \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^2 + x_N^2 + x_N^1, \dots, t_{q^2-1}^2 + x_{q^2-1}^2 + x_{q^2-1}^1, 1-x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2), \\
9) \quad &(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \\
&\quad \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{q^2-1}^2, 1-x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{l^2-1}^2, 1-x_{l^2}^2, x_{l^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s=A-N}^{A-m^2-1} \int_{I_N \times I_N} \left| K_{n(s+1), 2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1+t^1), \tau_A(x^2+t^2)) \right| dt^1 dt^2 \\
&\leq c \sum_{s=A-N}^{A-m^2-1} \left\{ \frac{2^{s+A-m^1+A-m^2}}{2^{2A}} + \sum_{q^2=N}^{A-1} \sum_{l^1=q^2}^A \sum_{q^1=q^2}^{l^1} \frac{2^{s+A-l^1+A-q^1} 2^{q^2-N}}{2^{2A}} + \sum_{q^2=N}^{A-1} \sum_{l^2=q^2}^{A-1} \frac{2^{s+A-l^2+A-m^1}}{2^{2A}} \right\} \\
&\leq c \left\{ \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+2m^2}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{m^2+2N}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{N+m^1+m^2}} \right\} \\
(8) \quad &\leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $0 \leq s \leq A - N$. Then using Lemmas 3 and 7, $K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) \neq 0$ implies that

10)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

11)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^2, \dots, t_{q^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

12)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^1, \dots, t_{q^1-1}^1, 1 - x_{q^1}^1, x_{q^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \\ \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^2, \dots, t_{q^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

13)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, x_N^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \\ \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^2, \dots, t_{A-s-1}^2, x_{A-s}^2, \dots, x_{q^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{l^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{l^2}^2, x_{l^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

14)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^1, \dots, t_{q^1-1}^1, 1 - x_{q^1}^1, \dots, x_{A-s}^1, \dots, x_{q^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \\ \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^2, \dots, t_{A-s-1}^2, x_{A-s}^2, \dots, x_{q^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{l^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{l^2}^2, x_{l^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2),$$

15)

$$(t^1, t^2) \in I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^1, \dots, t_{A-s}^1, \dots, t_{q^1-1}^1, 1 - x_{q^1}^1, x_{q^1+1}^1, \dots, x_{A-1}^1) \\ \times I_A(0, \dots, 0, t_N^2, \dots, t_{A-s}^2, x_{A-s+1}^2 + x_{A-s+1}^1 + t_{A-s+1}^1, \dots, x_{q^1-1}^2 + x_{q^1-1}^1 + t_{q^1-1}^1, \\ x_{q^1}^2, \dots, x_{q^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{q^2}^2, x_{q^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{l^2-1}^2, 1 - x_{l^2}^2, x_{l^2+1}^2, \dots, x_{A-1}^2).$$

Hence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \int_{I_N \times I_N} \left| K_{n^{(s+1)}, 2^s}^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) \right| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \left\{ \frac{2^{s+A-m^1+A-m^2}}{2^{2A}} + \sum_{q^2=N}^{A-s} \frac{2^{s+A-m^1+A-q^2} 2^{q^2-N}}{2^{2A}} \right. \\ & + \sum_{q^2=A-s}^{A-1} \sum_{l^2=q^2}^{A-1} \frac{2^{s+A-l^2+A-m^1} 2^{A-s-N}}{2^{2A}} + \sum_{q^1=N}^{A-s} \sum_{q^2=A-s}^{A-1} \sum_{l^2=q^2}^{A-1} \frac{2^{s+A-l^2+A-q^1} 2^{q^1-N} 2^{A-s-N}}{2^{2A}} \\ & + \sum_{q^1=A-s}^A \sum_{l^2=q^1}^{A-1} \sum_{q^2=q^1}^{l^2} \frac{2^{s+A-l^2+A-q^2} 2^{q^1-N} 2^{A-s-N}}{2^{2A}} \leq c \left\{ \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+N}} + \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \frac{2^s (A-s-N)}{2^{m^1+N}} \right. \\ & + \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \sum_{q^2=A-s}^{A-1} \sum_{l^2=q^2}^{A-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+l^2+N}} + \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \sum_{q^1=N}^{A-s} \sum_{q^2=A-s}^{A-1} \sum_{l^2=q^2}^{A-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{l^2+N}} + \sum_{s=0}^{A-N} \sum_{q^1=A-s}^A \sum_{l^2=q^1}^{A-1} \sum_{q^2=q^1}^{l^2} \frac{2^{A+q^1}}{2^{q^2+l^2+2N}} \left. \right\} \\ & \leq c \left\{ \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+N}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+2N}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+2N}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{3N}} + \frac{2^A}{2^{3N}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(9) \quad \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{m^1}^1=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1) 1_{I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2=0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2) \right\}.$$

By (6)-(9), we complete the proof of Lemma 9.

Analogously, we can prove that the following are true.

Lemma 10. *Let $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N(0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{m^2}^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $A > N$ and $n < 2^{A+1}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} n |K_n^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m^2} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2=0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11. *Let $(x^1, x^2) \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{m^1}^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(0, \dots, 0)$, $A > N$ and $n < 2^{A+1}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N \times I_N} n |K_n^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m^1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+r}} \sum_{q^1=r}^{m^1} 1_{I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_r^1=0, \dots, 0, x_{q^1}^1=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^1}^1=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

5. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.

By Lemma 1, the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete, if we show that the operator σ^* is p -quasi-local for each $2/3 < p \leq 1$ and bounded from $L^\infty(K^2)$ to $L^\infty(K^2)$.

The boundedness follows from Corollary 3.

Let a be an arbitrary atom with support $R = I \times J$ and $\mu(I) = \mu(J) = 2^{-N}$. We may assume that $I = J = I_N$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_n(a) = 0$ if $n \leq 2^N$. Therefore, we can suppose that $n > 2^N$.

Step 1. Integrating over $(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)$. Let $n = 2^A + m$, where $0 \leq m < 2^A$. Then from Lemma 5 and by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_n a(x^1, x^2) = \frac{2^A}{n} \sigma_{2^A} a(x^1, x^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{n} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) r_A(x^1 + t^1 + x^2 + t^2) m K_m^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ (10) \quad & = \sigma_n^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_n^{(2)} a(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|a| \leq c2^{2N/p}$, for $\sigma_n^{(2)}a(x^1, x^2)$ we get

$$|\sigma_n^{(2)}a(x^1, x^2)| \leq \frac{c2^{2N/p}}{n} \int_{I_N \times I_N} m |K_m^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2.$$

Let $m^1, m^2 = 0, \dots, N-1$ such that

$$(x^1, x^2) \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{m^1}^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{m^2}^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then, applying Lemma 10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\sigma_n^{(2)}a(x^1, x^2)| \\ & \leq \frac{c2^{2N/p}}{n} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m^1-1} \sum_{q^2=m^1}^{m^2} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^2+q^2+r}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, x_{r+1}^1, \dots, x_{m^1-1}^1, x_{m^1}^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^1+m^2+r}} 1_{I_N}(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0) (x^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \right)^p \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^p \quad (a_k \geq 0, \quad 0 < p \leq 1),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n^{(2)}a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \\ & \leq c_p 2^{2N} \sum_{m^1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m^2=m^1}^{N-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{m^2 p}} \sum_{r=0}^{m^1-1} 2^{r(1-p)} \sum_{q^2=m^1}^{m^2} \frac{1}{2^{q^2 p}} \frac{2^{m^1}}{2^{2N}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2^{(m^1+m^2)p}} \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} 2^{r(1-p)} \frac{2^{m^1}}{2^{2N}} \right\} \\ & \leq c_p \left\{ \sum_{m^1=0}^{N-1} 2^{m^1} \sum_{m^2=m^1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{m^2 p}} \sum_{r=0}^{m^1-1} 2^{r(1-p)} \sum_{q^2=m^1}^{m^2} \frac{1}{2^{q^2 p}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{m^1=0}^{N-1} 2^{m^1(1-p)} \sum_{m^2=m^1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{m^2 p}} \sum_{r=m^1}^{m^2-1} 2^{r(1-p)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Let $2/3 < p < 1$. Then, we have

$$(12) \quad \int_{(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n^{(2)}a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p \sum_{m^1=0}^{N-1} 2^{m^1(2-3p)} \leq c_p < \infty,$$

while $p = 1$, we get

$$(13) \quad \int_{(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n^{(2)} a(x^1, x^2)| dx^1 dx^2 \leq c \left\{ \sum_{m^1=0}^{N-1} \frac{m^1}{2^{m^1}} + \sum_{m^1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m^2=m^1}^{N-1} \frac{m^2 - m^1}{2^{m^2}} \right\} \leq c < \infty.$$

Combining (11)-(13), we conclude that

$$(14) \quad \int_{(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n^{(2)} a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p < \infty, \text{ for } 2/3 < p \leq 1.$$

By (10), (14) and Lemma 6, we have

$$(15) \quad \int_{(K \setminus I_N) \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p < \infty, \text{ for } 2/3 \leq p \leq 1.$$

Step 2. Integrating over $I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)$. By Lemma 5 and (1) we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_n a(x^1, x^2) &= \frac{2^A}{n} \sigma_{2^A} a(x^1, x^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) D_{2^A}(x^1 + t^1) r_A(x^2 + t^2) m K_m^w(\tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \int_{I_N \times I_N} a(t^1, t^2) r_A(x^1 + t^1 + x^2 + t^2) m K_m^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2)) dt^1 dt^2 \\ &= \sigma_n^{(1)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_n^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2) + \sigma_n^{(4)} a(x^1, x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|a| \leq c 2^{2N/p}$, for $\sigma_n^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2)$ we get

$$|\sigma_n^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2)| \leq \frac{c 2^{2N/p}}{n} \int_{I_N} m |K_m^w(\tau_A(x^2 + t^2))| dt^2.$$

Let $m^2 = 0, \dots, l^2$ and $l^2 = 0, \dots, N-1$ such that $x^2 \in I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{m^2}^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{l^2}^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Lemma 2 gives

$$|\sigma_n^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2)| \leq \frac{c 2^{2N/p}}{2^A} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^2+l^2}} \leq c \frac{2^{2N/p}}{2^{m^2+l^2}}.$$

Consequently, for $1/2 < p \leq 1$ we have

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n^{(3)} a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \\ &\leq c_p \frac{2^{2N}}{2^N} \sum_{l^2=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{l^2}} \sum_{m^2=0}^{l^2} \frac{1}{2^{m^2 p}} \frac{2^{m^2}}{2^N} \leq c_p \sum_{l^2=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{l^2 p}} \sum_{m^2=0}^{l^2} 2^{m^2(1-p)} \leq c_p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m^1, m^2 = 0, \dots, N - 1$ such that

$$(x^1, x^2) \in I_N(x_0^1, \dots, x_{m^1}^1 = 1, 0, \dots, 0) \times I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_{m^2}^2 = 1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Applying Lemma 10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^{(4)} a(x^1, x^2)| &\leq \frac{c2^{2N/p}}{n} \int_{I_N \times I_N} m |K_m^w(\tau_A(x^1 + t^1), \tau_A(x^2 + t^2))| dt^1 dt^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c2^{2N/p}}{n} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m^2} \frac{2^A}{2^{m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2) \right\} \\ (18) \quad &\leq c2^{2N/p} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m^2} \frac{1}{2^{m^2+r}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} 1_{I_N(x_0^2, \dots, x_r^2, 0, \dots, 0, x_{q^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{m^2}^2=1, 0, \dots, 0)}(x^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $1/2 < p \leq 1$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n^{(4)} a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 &\leq \frac{c_p 2^{2N}}{2^N} \sum_{m^2=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{m^2 p}} \sum_{r=0}^{m^2} \frac{1}{2^{pr}} \sum_{q^2=r}^{m^2} \frac{2^r}{2^N} \\ (19) \quad &\leq c_p \sum_{m^2=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{m^2 p}} \sum_{r=0}^{m^2} 2^{r(1-p)} (m^2 - r) \leq c_p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (18) and (19), we conclude that

$$(20) \quad \int_{I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n^{(4)} a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p < \infty, \text{ for } 1/2 < p \leq 1.$$

From (16), (17), (20) and Lemma 6, we get

$$\int_{I_N \times (K \setminus I_N)} \sup_{n \geq 2^N} |\sigma_n a(x^1, x^2)|^p dx^1 dx^2 \leq c_p < \infty, \text{ for } 1/2 < p \leq 1.$$

Step 3. Integrating over $(K \setminus I_N) \times I_N$.

This case is analogous to step 2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. BLAHOTA, G. GÁT, Pointwise convergence of double Vilenkin-Fejér means, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.* **36**, (2000), 49-63.
- [2] M.I. DYACHENKO, On the (C, α) -summability of multiple trigonometric Fourier series, *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzii* **131**, (1988), 261-263.
- [3] G. GÁT, On $(C, 1)$ summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Studia Math.* **130**, (1998), 135-148.
- [4] G. GÁT, Convergence of Marcinkiewicz means of integrable functions with respect to two-dimensional Vilenkin systems, *Georgian Mathematical Journal* **11**, (2004), No. 3, 467-478.

- [5] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA, K. NAGY, On (H_{pq}, L_{pq}) -type inequality of maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Kaczmarz system, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **9** (2006), No. 3, 473-483.
- [6] G. GÁT, R. TOLEDO L^p -norm convergence of series in compact, totally disconnected groups, *Analysis Mathematica* **22**, (1996), 13-24.
- [7] V. A. GLUKHOV, On the summability of multiple Fourier series with respect to multiplicative systems. *Mat. Zamet.* **39** (1986), 665-673 (Russian).
- [8] B. I. GOLUBOV, A.V. EFIMOV, AND V.A. SKVORTSOV, *Series and transformations of Walsh*, Moscow, 1987 (Russian); English translation, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [9] U. GOGINAVA, Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, *Math. Anal. and Appl.* **307**, (2005), no. 1, 206-218.
- [10] K. NAGY, Some convergence properties of the Walsh-Kaczmarz system with respect to the Marcinkiewicz means, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Serie II. Suppl.* **76** (2005), 503-516.
- [11] K. NAGY, On the two-dimensional Marcinkiewicz means with respect to Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **142**, (2006), 138-165.
- [12] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, Sur une methode remarquable de sommation des series doubles de Fourier, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **8**, (1939), 149-160.
- [13] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON, AND J. PÁL, *Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger (Bristol-New York 1990).
- [14] F. SCHIPP, Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems, *Analysis Math.* **2**, (1976), 63-75.
- [15] P. SIMON, On the Cesáro summability with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *J. Approx. Theory* **106**, (2000), 249-261.
- [16] V. A. SKVORTSOV, On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Analysis Math.* **7**, (1981), 141-150.
- [17] A. A. ŠNEIDER, On series with respect to the Walsh functions with monotone coefficients, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* **12**, (1948), 179-192.
- [18] W. S. YOUNG, On the a.e. convergence of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44**, (1974), 353-358.
- [19] F. WEISZ, Convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series and Hardy spaces, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.* **17**, (2001), 32-44.
- [20] F. WEISZ, *Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [21] F. WEISZ, *Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [22] L.V. ZHIZHIASHVILI, Generalization of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz, *Izv. Akad. nauk USSR Ser Math.* **32**, (1968), 1112-1122.

G. GÁT, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA, P.O. BOX 166, NYÍREGYHÁZA, H-4400 HUNGARY

E-mail address: gatgy@nyf.hu

U. GOGINAVA, DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128, GEORGIA

E-mail address: z_goginava@hotmail.com

K. NAGY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA, P.O. BOX 166, NYÍREGYHÁZA, H-4400 HUNGARY

E-mail address: nkaroly@nyf.hu