

MAXIMAL OPERATORS OF FEJÉR MEANS OF WALSH-KACZMARZ-FOURIER SERIES

USHANGI GOGINAVA AND KÁROLY NAGY

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this paper is to prove that there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}$ such that the maximal Fejér operator with respect to Walsh-Kaczmarz system does not belong to the space $L_{1/2}$. For the two-dimensional case, we prove that there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}^\square (f \in H_{1/2})$ such that the restricted (unrestricted) maximal operator of Fejér means of two-dimensional Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series does not belong to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first result with respect to the a.e. convergence of the Walsh-Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ is due to Fine [1]. Later, Schipp [9] showed that the maximal operator $\sigma^* f := \sup_n |\sigma_n f|$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$, from which the a. e. convergence follows by standard argument. Schipp's result implies by interpolation also the boundedness of $\sigma^* : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$). This fails to hold for $p = 1$, but Fujii [2] proved that σ^* is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1 . Fujii's theorem was extended by Weisz [19]. Namely, he proved that the maximal operator of the Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is bounded from the martingale Hardy space $H_p(G)$ to the space $L_p(G)$ for $p > 1/2$. Simon [13] gave a counterexample, which shows that this boundedness does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. In the endpoint case $p = 1/2$ Weisz [21] proved that σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G)$ (see also [14]). In [5] the first author proved that the maximal operator σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$.

In 1948 Šneider [16] introduced the Walsh-Kaczmarz system and showed that the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_n^\kappa(x)}{\log n} \geq C > 0$$

holds a.e. In 1974 Schipp [10] and Young [17] proved that the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. Skvortsov in 1981 [15] showed that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge uniformly to f for any continuous functions f . Gát [3] proved, for any integrable functions, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function and Gát proved that $\|\sigma^{\kappa*}\|_1 \leq C \|f\|_{H_1}$. The result of Gát was extended to the Hardy space by Simon [11], who proved that $\sigma^{\kappa*}$ is of type (H_p, L_p) for $p > 1/2$. Weisz [21] showed that in endpoint case $p = 1/2$ the maximal operator is of weak type $(H_{1/2}, L_{1/2})$.

The first author is supported by the Georgian National Foundation for Scientific Research, grant no GNSF/ST07/3-171.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh Kaczmarz system, Hardy space, Fejér means.

In this paper we will prove a stronger result than the unboundedness of the maximal operator from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$, in particular, we prove that there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}$ such that

$$\|\sigma^{\kappa,*}\|_{1/2} = +\infty.$$

For the two-dimensional Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series Simon proved [12] that the restricted maximal operator $\sigma_\lambda^{\kappa,*}$ is bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for all $p > 1/2$.

In the paper [7] it was proved that the assumption $p > 1/2$ is essential. Namely, the maximal operator $\sigma^{\kappa,*} := \sup_n |\sigma_{n,n}^\kappa|$ of the Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$. In this paper we will prove a stronger result than in the paper [7], in particular, we prove that there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}^\square (f \in H_{1/2})$ such that

$$\|\sigma^{\kappa,\square}\|_{\text{weak-}L_{1/2}} = +\infty \quad (\|\sigma^{\kappa,*}\|_{\text{weak-}L_{1/2}} = +\infty).$$

Thus, as regards boundedness of $\sigma^{\kappa,*}$ the case of two-dimensional Walsh-Kaczmarz series differs from the case of one-dimensional Walsh-Kaczmarz series.

Let denote by \mathbf{Z}_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The normalized Haar measure on \mathbf{Z}_2 is given in the way that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let $G := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}_2$, G be called the Walsh group. The elements of G are sequences $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$).

The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition (denoted by $+$), the normalized Haar measure (denoted by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. Dyadic intervalls are defined by

$$I_0(x) := G, \quad I_n(x) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}$$

for $x \in G, n \in \mathbf{P}$. They form a base for the neighborhoods of G . Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G and $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let L_p denote the usual Lebesgue spaces on G (with the corresponding norm or quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_p$). The space weak- L_p consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{\text{weak-}L_p} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(|f| > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

The Rademacher functions are defined as

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k} \quad (x \in G, k \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Let the Walsh-Paley functions be the product functions of the Rademacher functions. Namely, each natural number n can be uniquely expressed as

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i, \quad n_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i \in \mathbf{N}),$$

where only a finite number of n_i 's different from zero. Let the order of $n > 0$ be denoted by $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$. Walsh-Paley functions are $w_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k}.$$

The Walsh-Kaczmarz functions are defined by $\kappa_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_{|n|-1-k}}.$$

The set of Walsh-Kaczmarz functions and the set of Walsh-Paley functions is the same in dyadic blocks. Namely,

$$\{\kappa_n : 2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}\} = \{w_n : 2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}\}$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\kappa_0 = w_0$.

V. A. Skvortsov (see [15]) gave a relation between the Walsh-Kaczmarz functions and the Walsh-Paley functions by the help of the transformation $\tau_A : G \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_1, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots)$$

for $A \in \mathbf{N}$. By the definition of τ_A , we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_{n-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in G).$$

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k,$$

where $\alpha_n = w_n$ or κ_n ($n \in \mathbf{P}$), $D_0^\alpha := 0$. The 2^n th Dirichlet kernels have a closed form (see e.g. [8])

$$D_{2^n}^w(x) = D_{2^n}^\kappa(x) = D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \notin I_n \\ 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n. \end{cases}$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic intervals of measure 2^{-k} will be denoted by F_k ($k \in \mathbf{N}$).

Denote by $f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ a martingale with respect to $(F_n, n \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e.g. [20]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f^{(n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x))} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(u) d\mu(u) \right|, \quad x \in G.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p(G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1(G)$, then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n} f : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots)$ then the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\widehat{f}(i) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f^{(k)}(x) \alpha_i(x) d\mu(x) \quad (\alpha = w \text{ or } \kappa).$$

The Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{2^n} f : n \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

The two-dimensional dyadic cubes are of the form

$$I_{n,n}(x, y) := I_n(x) \times I_n(y).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,n}(x, y) : (x, y) \in G \times G\}$ is denoted by $F_{n,n}$.

Denote by $f = (f^{(n,n)}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ a martingale with respect to $(F_{n,n}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [20]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^\square = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f^{(n,n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^\square(x, y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_{n,n}(x, y))} \left| \int_{I_{n,n}(x, y)} f(u, v) d\mu(u, v) \right|,$$

$$(x, y) \in G \times G,$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p^\square(G \times G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^\square\|_p < \infty.$$

Let

$$I_{n,m}(x, y) := I_n(x) \times I_m(y).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,m}(x, y) : (x, y) \in G \times G\}$ will be denoted by $F_{n,m}$ ($n, m \in \mathbf{N}$).

Denote by $f = (f^{(n,m)}, n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ a martingale with respect to $(F_{n,m}, n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [20]).

The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} |f^{(n,m)}|.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p(G \times G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty$$

In case $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, maximal functions can also be given by

$$f^*(x, y) = \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_{n,m}(x, y))} \left| \int_{I_{n,m}(x, y)} f(u, v) d\mu(u, v) \right|$$

2. THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL MAXIMAL OPERATOR

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and a martingale f the Fejér means of the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series of the function f is given by

$$\sigma_n^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_j^\alpha(f; x) \quad (\alpha = w \text{ or } \kappa).$$

For a martingale f we consider the maximal operator

$$\sigma^{\alpha,*} f = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{P}} |\sigma_n^\alpha f(x)| \quad (\alpha = w \text{ or } \kappa).$$

The n th Fejér kernel of the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series defined by

$$K_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k^\alpha(x) \quad (\alpha = w \text{ or } \kappa).$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p -atom, if there exists a dyadic interval I , such that

- a) $\int_I a d\mu = 0$;
- b) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I)^{-1/p}$;
- c) $\text{supp } a \subset I$.

The basic result of atomic decomposition is the following one.

Theorem A. (Weisz [20]). *A martingale $f = (f^{(n)} : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is in H_p ($0 < p \leq 1$) if and only if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n} a_k = f^{(n)},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_p} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions of f of the form (1).

We will use the following lemma of Goginava:

Lemma 1. (Goginava [6]) *Let $2 < A \in \mathbf{P}$ and $q_A := 2^{2A} + 2^{2A-2} + \dots + 2^2 + 2^0$. Then*

$$q_{A-1} \left| K_{q_{A-1}}^w(x) \right| \geq 2^{2m+2s-3}$$

for $x \in I_{2A}(0, \dots, 0, x_{2m} = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{2s} = 1, x_{2s+1}, \dots, x_{2A-1})$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, A-3$, $s = m+2, m+3, \dots, A-1$.

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}(G)$ such that*

$$\|\sigma^{\kappa,*} f\|_{1/2} = +\infty.$$

Proof. Since $\frac{2^{m_k}}{m_k} \uparrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ it is easy to show that there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $(m_k : k \in \mathbf{N})$ such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{1/2}} < \infty,$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} < \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k},$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{k 2^{4m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}} \leq \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k}.$$

Let

$$f^{(A)}(x) := \sum_{k, 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k, \text{ where } \lambda_k := \frac{1}{m_k}$$

and

$$a_k(x) := 2^{2m_k} (D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)).$$

The martingale $f := (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(A)}, \dots)$ is in $H_{1/2}(G)$. Indeed, since

$$S_{2^A} a_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A \leq 2m_k, \\ a_k(x), & \text{if } A > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f^{(A)}(x) = \sum_{k: 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_{2^A} a_k(x)$$

by (2) and Theorem A we conclude that $f \in H_{1/2}(G)$.

Now, we investigate the Fourier coefficients.

Let $j \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}$ for some $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then it is evident that

$$\widehat{f}^{\kappa}(j) := \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f^{(A)}}^{\kappa}(j) = \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k}$$

and $\widehat{f}^{\kappa}(j) = 0$, if $j \notin \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now, we decompose the q_{m_k} th Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejér means as follows. (For the definition of q_{m_k} see Lemma 1 of Goginava.)

$$(5) \quad \sigma_{q_{m_k}}^{\kappa} f(x) = \frac{1}{q_{m_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_j^{\kappa} f(x) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_j^{\kappa} f(x) = I + II.$$

Let $j < 2^{2m_k}$. Then (3) gives that

$$|S_j^\kappa f(x)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{v=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} |\widehat{f}^\kappa(v)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} < 2 \frac{2^{4m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}}$$

and

$$(6) \quad I \leq c \frac{1}{q_{m_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} |S_j^\kappa f(x)| \leq c \frac{2^{4m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}}.$$

Now, we discuss II .

For $2^{2m_k} \leq j < q_{m_k}$ we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} S_j^\kappa f(x) &= \sum_{v=0}^{2^{2m_{k-1}+1}-1} \widehat{f}^\kappa(v) \kappa_v(x) + \sum_{v=2^{2m_k}}^{j-1} \widehat{f}^\kappa(v) \kappa_v(x) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{v=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \widehat{f}^\kappa(v) \kappa_v(x) + \sum_{v=2^{2m_k}}^{j-1} \widehat{f}^\kappa(v) \kappa_v(x) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{v=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \frac{2^{2m_l}}{m_l} \kappa_v(x) + \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k} \sum_{v=2^{2m_k}}^{j-1} \kappa_v(x) \\ (7) \quad &= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{2m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) + \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k} (D_j^\kappa(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \frac{(q_{m_k} - 2^{2m_k})}{q_{m_k}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{2m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k} m_k} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} (D_j^\kappa(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) \\ &=: II_1 + II_2. \end{aligned}$$

To discuss II_1 , we use (3) and $|D_{2^n}(x)| \leq 2^n$. Thus, we can write

$$(8) \quad |II_1| \leq c \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} < c \frac{2^{4m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}}.$$

From $\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x) = I + II_1 + II_2$. and (6), (8) we have

$$(9) \quad |\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x)| \geq |II_2| - |I| - |II_1| \geq |II_2| - c \frac{2^{4m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}}.$$

Now, we discuss II_2 .

We can write the n th Dirichlet kernel with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n^\kappa(x) &= D_{2^{|n|}}(x) + \sum_{k=2^{|n|}}^{n-1} r_{|k|}(x) w_{k-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|k|}(x)) \\
(10) \quad &= D_{2^{|n|}}(x) + r_{|n|}(x) D_{n-2^{|n|}}^w(\tau_{|n|}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the help of this, we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_2| &= \frac{2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k} m_k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} \left(D_{j+2^{2m_k}}^\kappa(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x) \right) \right| \\
&= \frac{2^{2m_k}}{q_{m_k} m_k} \left| r_{2m_k}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} D_j^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) \right| \\
&= \frac{2^{2m_k}}{m_k} \frac{q_{m_k}-1}{q_{m_k}} \left| K_{q_{m_k}-1}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) \right| \\
&\geq c \frac{q_{m_k}-1}{m_k} \left| K_{q_{m_k}-1}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (9) we have

$$|\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x)| \geq c \frac{q_{m_k}-1}{m_k} \left| K_{q_{m_k}-1}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) \right| - c \frac{2^{4m_k-1}}{m_{k-1}}.$$

Define the set $J_{2A}^{l,s}(x)$ for $l < s < A$ by

$$J_{2A}^{l,s}(x) := I_{2A}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2A-2s-2}, x_{2A-2s-1} = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{2A-2l-1} = 1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Let $x \in J_{2m_k}^{l,s}(x)$, for some $l = [m_k/2], [m_k/2] + 1, \dots, m_k - 3$, and $s = l + 2, l + 3, \dots, m_k - 1$, then from Lemma 1 and (4) we have

$$\left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x) \right| \geq \frac{c 2^{2l+2s}}{m_k} - \frac{c 2^{4m_k-1}}{m_{k-1}} \geq \frac{c 2^{2l+2s-1}}{m_k}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_G |\sigma^{\kappa,*} f(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) &\geq \int_G |\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\
&\geq \sum_{l=[m_k/2]}^{m_k-3} \sum_{s=l+2}^{m_k-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,2m_k-2s-2\}}}^1 \int_{J_{2m_k}^{l,s}(x)} |\sigma_{q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\
&\geq \frac{c}{m_k^{1/2}} \sum_{l=[m_k/2]}^{m_k-3} \sum_{s=l+2}^{m_k-1} 2^{2m_k-2s} \frac{1}{2^{2m_k}} 2^{l+s} \\
&\geq \frac{c}{m_k^{1/2}} \sum_{l=[m_k/2]}^{m_k-3} \sum_{s=l+2}^{m_k-1} \frac{2^l}{2^s} \geq c m_k^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

That is $\|\sigma^{\kappa,*} f\|_{1/2} = +\infty$. The proof is complete. \square

3. THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL RESTRICTED MAXIMAL OPERATOR

For $\alpha = w$ or κ the rectangular partial sums of the double Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}^\alpha f(x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i, j) \alpha_i(x) \alpha_j(y),$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \int_{G \times G} f(x, y) \alpha_i(x) \alpha_j(y) d\mu(x, y).$$

is said to be the (i, j) th Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficient of the function f .

If $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n, 2^n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(n,n)} : n \in \mathbf{N})$ then the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\widehat{f}^\alpha(i, j) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G \times G} f^{(k)}(x, y) \alpha_i(x) \alpha_j(y) d\mu(x, y).$$

The Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{2^n, 2^n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

For $n, m \in \mathbf{P}$ and a martingale f the (n, m) th Fejér mean of the double Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier series is given by

$$\sigma_{n,m}^\alpha f(x, y) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} S_{i,j}^\alpha f(x, y).$$

For the martingale f the restricted maximal operator is defined by

$$\sigma_\lambda^{\alpha, \square} f(x, y) = \sup_{2^{-\lambda} \leq n/m \leq 2^\lambda} |\sigma_{n,m}^\alpha f(x, y)|.$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p -atom, if there exists a dyadic 2-dimensional cube $I \times I$, such that

- a) $\int_{I \times I} a d\mu = 0$;
- b) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I \times I)^{-1/p}$;
- c) $\text{supp } a \subset I \times I$.

The basic result of atomic decomposition is the following one.

Theorem B. (Weisz [20]). *A martingale $f = (f^{(n,n)} : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is in H_p^\square ($0 < p \leq 1$) if and only if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n, 2^n} a_k = f^{(n,n)},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_p^\square} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *There exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}^\square(G \times G)$ such that*

$$\|\sigma^{\kappa, \square} f\|_{weak-L_{1/2}} = +\infty.$$

Proof. To prove Theorem 2 we modify the sequence $\{m_k : k \in \mathbf{P}\}$ and atoms a_k given in the previous section in the following way.

Let $\{m_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{1/2}} < \infty,$$

$$(13) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} < \frac{2^{8m_k}}{m_k},$$

$$(14) \quad \frac{2^{8m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}} < \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Let

$$f^{(A,A)}(x, y) := \sum_{k, 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k(x, y), \text{ where } \lambda_k := \frac{1}{m_k}$$

and

$$a_k(x, y) := 2^{4m_k} (D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_k+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)).$$

The martingale $f := (f^{(0,0)}, f^{(1,1)}, \dots, f^{(A,A)}, \dots) \in H_{1/2}^\square(G \times G)$. Indeed,

$$S_{2^A, 2^A} a_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A \leq 2m_k, \\ a_k(x, y), & \text{if } A > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

$$f^{(A,A)}(x, y) = \sum_{k, 2m_k < A} \lambda_k a_k(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_{2^A, 2^A} a_k(x, y)$$

from (12) and Theorem B we conclude that $f \in H_{1/2}^\square(G \times G)$.

Now, we investigate the Fourier coefficients. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G \times G} f^{(A)}(x, y) \kappa_i(x) \kappa_j(y) d\mu(x, y) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, A = 0, 1, \dots, 2m_k, \\ \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, A > 2m_k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$(15) \quad \widehat{f}^\kappa(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}. \end{cases}$$

We decompose the (q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}) th Fejér means as follows

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{q_{m_k} q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y) \\ &= I + II + III + IV. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(i, j) \in \left(\{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\} \right. \\ \cup \left(\{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\} \right) \\ \left. \cup \left(\{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\} \right) \right).$$

for some k . Then from (15) and (13) it is easy to show that

$$|S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} |\widehat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq \frac{C2^{2m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(17) \quad |I| \leq \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} |S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y)| \leq C \frac{2^{4m_k}}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \leq \frac{C2^{2m_k}}{km_k},$$

$$(18) \quad |II| \leq \frac{2^{2m_k}(q_{m_k} - 2^{2m_k})}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \leq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}$$

and

$$(19) \quad |III| \leq \frac{C2^{2m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Combining (16)-(19) we obtain that

$$(20) \quad \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x, y) \right| \geq |IV| - \frac{C2^{2m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Now, we discuss *IV*.

Let $(i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\}$. Then from (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{i,j}^\kappa f(x, y) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{j-1} \widehat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu) \kappa_\nu(x) \kappa_\mu(y) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \widehat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu) \kappa_\nu(x) \kappa_\mu(y) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_k}}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_k}}^{j-1} \widehat{f}^\kappa(\nu, \mu) \kappa_\nu(x) \kappa_\mu(y) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) \times (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \\
(21) \quad &\quad + \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} (D_i^\kappa(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_j^\kappa(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
IV &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} (q_{m_k} - 2^{2m_k})^2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} \left(\sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} (D_i^\kappa(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_j^\kappa(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)) \right) \\
&= IV_1 + IV_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (13), (14) and $|D_{2^n}(x)| \leq 2^n$ we get that

$$|IV_1| \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}$$

and

$$\left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x, y) \right| \geq |IV_2| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

By the help of the equation (10) we immediatelly have for IV_2

$$\begin{aligned}
IV_2 &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} r_{2m_k}(x) r_{2m_k}(y) \sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_k}-1-1} D_i^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1-1} D_j^w(\tau_{2m_k}(y)) \\
&= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} r_{2m_k}(x) r_{2m_k}(y) q_{m_k-1}^2 K_{q_{m_k-1}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) K_{q_{m_k-1}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(x, y) \in J_{2m_k}^{l_1, l_1+2}(x) \times J_{2m_k}^{l_2, l_2+2}(y)$, where $(l_1, l_2) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 3\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 3\}$.

Then from Lemma 1 we can write

$$q_{m_k-1} \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(x)) \right| \geq C2^{4l_1} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{m_k-1} \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}^w(\tau_{2m_k}(y)) \right| \geq C2^{4l_2}.$$

Consequently,

$$(22) \quad \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x, y) \right| \geq \frac{C}{m_k} 2^{4l_1+4l_2} - C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Denote

$$A(m_k) := \left\{ (l_1, l_2) : 0 \leq l_2 \leq m_k - 3, 0 \leq l_1 \leq \frac{m_k}{4}, l_1 + l_2 \geq \frac{m_k}{4} \right\}$$

and

$$\lambda'_k := \frac{c2^{m_k}}{m_k}.$$

For $(l_1, l_2) \in A(m_k)$, we have

$$\left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x, y) \right| \geq \frac{c2^{m_k}}{m_k}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \left\{ (x, y) \in G \times G : \sigma^{\kappa, \square} f(x, y) \geq C\lambda'_k \right\} \geq \\ & \geq \sum_{(l_1, l_2) \in A(m_k)} \mu \left\{ (x, y) \in J_{2m_k}^{l_1, l_1+2}(x) \times J_{2m_k}^{l_2, l_2+2}(y) : \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}}^\kappa f(x, y) \right| \geq \lambda'_k \right\} \\ & \geq C \sum_{l_1=0}^{\lfloor m_k/4 \rfloor} \sum_{l_2=\lfloor m_k/4 \rfloor - l_1}^{m_k-3} \sum_{x_0=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{2m_k-2l_1-2}=0}^1 \sum_{y_0=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{y_{2m_k-2l_2-2}=0}^1 \mu \left(J_{2m_k}^{l_1, l_1+2}(x) \times J_{2m_k}^{l_2, l_2+2}(y) \right) \\ & \geq C \sum_{l_1=0}^{\lfloor m_k/4 \rfloor} \sum_{l_2=\lfloor m_k/4 \rfloor - l_1}^{m_k-3} \frac{1}{2^{2l_1+2l_2}} \geq \frac{Cm_k}{2^{m_k/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lambda'_k \left(\mu \left\{ (x, y) : \sigma^{\kappa, \square} f(x, y) \geq C\lambda'_k \right\} \right)^2 \geq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{m_k} \frac{m_k^2}{2^{m_k}} = Cm_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of this theorem. \square

4. THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL UNRESTRICTED MAXIMAL OPERATOR

If $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n, 2^m}(f) : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(n, m)} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ then the Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \lim_{\min(k, l) \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G \times G} f^{(k, l)}(x, y) \alpha_i(x) \alpha_j(y) d\mu(x, y).$$

The Walsh-(Kaczmarz)-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{2^n, 2^m}(f) : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

For the martingale f the unrestricted maximal operator of the Fejér mean is defined by

$$\sigma^{\alpha, *} f(x, y) = \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} |\sigma_{n, m}^\alpha(f; x, y)|.$$

A function $a \in L_2$ is called a rectangle p -atom if there exists a dyadic rectangle R such that

- (a) $\text{supp } a \subset R$,

- (b) $\|a\|_2 \leq |R|^{1/2-1/p}$,
(c) $\int_G a(x, y) d\mu(x) = \int_G a(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in G$.

The basic result of atomic decomposition is the following one.

Theorem C. (Weisz [20]). *A martingale $f = (f^{(n,m)} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is in H_p ($0 < p \leq 1$) if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of rectangle p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that for every $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$,*

$$(23) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n, 2^m} a_k = f^{(n,m)},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_p} \sim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *There exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}(G \times G)$ such that*

$$\|\sigma^{\kappa, *}\|_{weak-L_{1/2}} = +\infty.$$

Proof. Now, we use the sequence $\{\mu_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and the atoms a_k defined in the previous proof. Let

$$f^{(A,B)}(x, y) := \sum_{l: 2m_l < \min(A,B)} \lambda_l a_l(x, y).$$

First, we prove that the martingale $f := (f^{(A,B)} : A, B \in \mathbf{N})$ belongs to the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$. Indeed, since

$$\|a_l\|_2 \leq c2^{6m_l},$$

$$S_{2^A, 2^B} a_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \min(A, B) \leq 2m_k, \\ a_k(x, y), & \text{if } \min(A, B) > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

$$f^{(A,B)}(x, y) := \sum_{l: 2m_l < \min(A,B)} \lambda_l a_l(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_{2^A, 2^B} a_k(x, y)$$

from (12) and Theorem C we conclude that $f \in H_{1/2}(G \times G)$.

Now, we investigate the Fourier coefficients. Since

$$\int_{G \times G} f^{(A,B)}(x, y) \kappa_i(x) \kappa_j(y) d\mu(x, y)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \min(A, B) \leq 2m_k, \\ \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \min(A, B) > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

we can write

$$\widehat{f}^\kappa(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}. \end{cases}$$

The estimation of

$$\mu \{(x, y) : \sigma^{\kappa, *}(f)(x, y) \geq C\lambda_k\}$$

is analogous to the estimation of

$$\mu \{(x, y) : \sigma^{\kappa, \square}(f)(x, y) \geq C\lambda_k\}$$

and we have that

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu \{(x, y) : \sigma^{\kappa, *}(f)(x, y) \geq \lambda\}^2 = \infty.$$

Theorem 3 is proved. □

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Fine, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 41(1955), 558-591.
- [2] N. J. Fujii, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77(1979), 111-116.
- [3] G. GÁT, On $(C, 1)$ summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Studia Math.* **130**, (1998), 135-148.
- [4] G. GÁT, R. TOLEDO, L^p -norm convergence of series in compact totally disconnected groups, *Acta Math. Hung.* **22**, (1996), 13-24.
- [5] U. Goginava, The maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. East J. Approx. 12 (2006), no. 3, 295–302.
- [6] U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **115** (4), (2007), 333-340.
- [7] U. GOGINAVA, K. NAGY On the Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Periodica Math. Hungar.* **55**, (2007), 11-18.
- [8] F. SCHIPP, W.R. WADE, P. SIMON, AND J. PÁL, *Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger (Bristol-New York 1990).
- [9] F. Schipp, Über gewissen maximaloperatoren, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. Math., 18(1975), 189-195.
- [10] F. SCHIPP, Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems, *Analysis Math.* **2**, (1976), 63-75.
- [11] P. Simon, On the Cesàro summability with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *J. Approx. Theory*, 106 (2000), 249-261.
- [12] P. SIMON, Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh system, *Monatsh. Math.* **131**, (2000), 321-334.
- [13] P. Simon, Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh system, *Monatsh. Math.* 131(2000), 321-334.
- [14] P. SIMON, F. WEISZ Weak inequalities for Cesàro and Riesz summability of Walsh-Fourier series, *J. Approx. Theory.* **151**, (2008), 1-19.
- [15] V. A. SKVORTSOV, On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, *Analysis Math.* **7**, (1981), 141-150.
- [16] A. A. ŠNEIDER, On series with respect to the Walsh functions with monotone coefficients, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* **12**, (1948), 179-192.
- [17] W.S. YOUNG, On the a.e. convergence of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44**, (1974), 353-358.
- [18] F. WEISZ, Cesàro summability of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348**, (1996), 2169-2181.
- [19] F. Weisz, Cesàro summability of one and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Anal. Math. 22(1996), 229-242.

- [20] F. WEISZ, *Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, (2002).
- [21] F. WEISZ, ϑ -summability of Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **103(1-2)**, (2004), 139-176.

U. GOGINAVA, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES, TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128, GEORGIA.

E-mail address: z_goginava@hotmail.com

K. NAGY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA, P.O. BOX 166, NYÍREGYHÁZA, H-4400 HUNGARY.

E-mail address: nkaroly@nyf.hu